# **Exposure to artificial light at night in the wild** leads to behavioral shifts in a freshwater fish (*Gambusia holbrooki*)

Matthew R. Jenkins<sup>a</sup>, R. Brian Langerhans<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, U.S.A

Corresponding author address:

Matthew Jenkins 1041 E. Lowell St. Biosciences West Room 310 Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721-0088

Word count of text: 10,820

1	Exposure to artificial light at night in the wild
2	leads to behavioral shifts in a freshwater fish (Gambusia holbrooki)
3	
4	ABSTRACT
5	Growth of urban areas represents a leading cause of biodiversity loss and can lead to altered
6	phenotypes. Anthropogenic disturbances might not only cause negative behavioral consequences
7	in animals, but also elicit adaptive behavioral responses via plasticity/learning or evolution. One
8	pollutant universally linked with urbanization is artificial light at night (ALAN). ALAN causes
9	widespread biological impacts, but we still know little about its ecological and evolutionary
10	consequences, especially for aquatic organisms. Our field study examined ecological and
11	phenotypic effects of ALAN in a diurnal, freshwater fish, the Eastern mosquitofish (Gambusia
12	holbrooki). We did not observe consistent effects of ALAN on population demographics or body
13	size. However, we observed a number of behavioral shifts—some matched a priori adaptive
14	hypotheses. While most fish appeared to sleep during the night in populations unexposed to
15	ALAN, we observed high incidence of nighttime activity in ALAN-exposed populations. Active
16	fish swam at a much higher speed at night within ALAN-exposed populations, apparently
17	extending feeding behaviors throughout the night. Based on activity patterns, one population-
18	the one with the longest history and greatest magnitude of ALAN-even displayed a loss of
19	diurnality. Females in ALAN-exposed populations showed reduced daytime feeding rates,
20	perhaps resulting from successful nighttime feeding. Meanwhile, males exhibited higher rates of
21	aggression and lower rates of sexual behaviors during the day in populations with greater
22	magnitudes of ALAN. This could reflect proximate consequences of altered circadian rhythms,
23	but could also involve an adaptive shift where males perform more difficult and risky mating

24	behaviors under dim nighttime lighting where they might have higher success and lower risk
24	behaviors under dim nightline fightling where they finght have nigher success and lower fisk
25	from predators. For body condition and female daytime behaviors, we found evidence that
26	mixing/gene-flow with un-exposed populations might have constrained adaptive divergence.
27	Overall, we uncovered how one ubiquitous component of urbanization may have far-reaching
28	consequences that extend beyond immediate, negative biological effects.
29	
30	Keywords: Anthropocene, body condition, circadian rhythm, contemporary evolution, diel
31	behaviour, light pollution, Poeciliidae, population demography, urbanization
32	
33	Introduction
34	
35	Due to the growth of human populations, cities are becoming more abundant and densely
36	populated, with environments once deemed "natural" rapidly experiencing a variety of ecological
37	changes as a result of urbanization. Current predictions estimate that two thirds of the human
38	population will reside within urban areas by the year 2050 (United Nations, 2019). Organisms
39	residing within these rapidly changing environments often experience negative consequences
40	resulting in population declines and local extirpation of species (e.g. Aronson et al., 2014;
41	Grimm et al., 2008; Li et al., 2022; McKinney, 2008; McKinney & Lockwood, 1999; Seto et al.,
42	2012). Yet, these negative ecological consequences can be temporary or avoided altogether in
43	some species owing to evolutionary rescue or adaptive phenotypic plasticity (e.g. Bell, 2017;
44	Carlson et al., 2014; Caspi et al., 2022; Feiner et al., 2021; Gonzalez et al., 2013; Harmon &
45	Pfennig, 2021; Snell-Rood et al., 2018). Not only do these circumstances offer a remarkable
46	opportunity to improve our basic understanding of organismal responses to rapidly changing

environments, but it has become imperative to understand the demographic and phenotypic
changes experienced by these resilient organisms in the face of rapidly urbanizing environments
to better mitigate negative human-caused impacts and reduce the rate of biodiversity loss (e.g.
Carroll et al., 2014; Des Roches et al., 2020; Lambert & Donihue, 2020; Schell et al., 2021;
Szulkin et al., 2020; Thompson et al., 2022).

While negative impacts of urbanization on biodiversity and population growth have been 52 53 documented in diverse taxa, evidence for urban-induced changes in phenotypes is now rapidly 54 accumulating (e.g. Alberti et al., 2017; Johnson & Munshi-South, 2017; Lambert et al., 2021; 55 Szulkin et al., 2020), with important ecological, evolutionary, and conservation implications. 56 Most research on this topic has so far focused on terrestrial organisms (e.g. Diamond & Martin, 57 2021; Perkin et al., 2011; Schell et al., 2021) even though urban impacts are known to be strong 58 and pervasive in aquatic ecosystems (e.g. Paul & Meyer, 2001; Rosso et al., 2023; Vörösmarty et 59 al., 2010; Wenger et al., 2009). Indeed, 60% of the world's population lives within 100 km of coastal habitat and over half of the world's population lives within three km of a body of 60 61 freshwater (Bolton et al., 2017; Kummu et al., 2011). As expected, recent work has demonstrated 62 that urbanization can cause rapid trait changes in aquatic species, including rapid, adaptive 63 evolutionary shifts (e.g. Elizabeth et al., 2021; Brans & De Meester, 2018; Jenkins et al., 2021; 64 Kern & Langerhans, 2018, 2019; Langerhans & Kern, 2020; Merckx et al., 2018). Yet this work has only just begun, and we currently know almost nothing about how one of the most novel and 65 66 widespread aspects of urbanization—artificial light at night (ALAN)—might impact population 67 ecology and phenotypes of aquatic organisms.

68 Anthropogenic light has been rapidly increasing in magnitude and spatial extent since the 69 mid 20<sup>th</sup> century, with focused research on its global ecological impacts beginning in earnest

70	only recently (Cinzano et al., 2001; Falchi et al., 2016; Sanders et al., 2020). Ecological studies
71	have already uncovered widespread consequences of ALAN in disparate ecosystems and point to
72	many areas in dire need of further research, including demographic and phenotypic
73	consequences of ALAN in the wild (e.g. Altermatt and Ebert, 2016; Czaczkes et al., 2018;
74	Gaston et al., 2015; Gaston & Bennie, 2014; Grose & Jones, 2020; Hölker et al., 2010; Hopkins
75	et al., 2018; Longcore & Rich, 2004; Marangoni et al., 2022; Rich & Longcore, 2006; van de
76	Schoot et al., 2024). Other than birds and sea turtles (e.g. Horton et al., 2023; McLaren et al.,
77	2018; Witherington & Martin, 2003; Thums et al., 2016; Van Doren et al., 2017), we still know
78	little in this regard. Because of the potential importance, prevalence, and ongoing expansion of
79	ALAN along marine and freshwater ecosystems, researchers have recently begun investigating
80	effects of ALAN in aquatic species other than sea turtles (e.g. Latchem et al., 2021; Marangoni et
81	al., 2022; Moore et al. 2006; Nightingale et al., 2006; Pulgar et al., 2019). Moreover, unlike
82	highly mobile or volant organisms that could potentially behaviorally avoid ALAN, some
83	aquatic species exposed to ALAN have little ability to remove themselves from nighttime
84	lighting owing to their life histories or their simple restriction to water. Yet, we are in the infancy
85	of understanding the ecological and evolutionary consequences of ALAN in most aquatic
86	systems and taxa, especially for freshwater fishes (Perkin et al., 2011).
87	Most fish show diel activity patterns, using periods of light and dark for foraging,
88	predator avoidance, movement, and intraspecific interactions such as mating and social
89	behaviors (Mehner, 2012; Pulgar et al., 2019). Sources of ALAN located near water sources can

90 disrupt these behaviors as it interrupts the natural cycle of light under which these animals have

- 91 evolved. In fact, ALAN represents one of the most novel of all anthropogenic stressors, as no
- 92 lineage has experienced continuous lighting throughout the night across all seasons in its

93 evolutionary history. This lack of experience with nightime lighting suggests that the most 94 common immediate consequence of ALAN may be negative behavioral impacts, but learned, 95 adaptive responses are possible, as is adaptive evolution. So far, rather than examining the 96 effects of prolonged exposure to ALAN in the wild, most research to date has experimentally 97 manipulated light regimes in laboratory settings to largely establish a proof-of-concept that 98 ALAN can have behavioral or physiological proximate effects on fish. For instance, Latchem et 99 al. (2021), showed that bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus) experimentally exposed to 100 ALAN exhibited lower daytime locomotor activity and higher nighttime swimming activity. In 101 Girella laevifrons, an intertidal rockfish, experimental exposure to ALAN resulted in increased 102 oxygen consumption and dramatically altered circadian and circatidal rhythms that caused higher 103 overall activity levels (Pulgar et al., 2019). Trinidadian guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) exposed to 104 ALAN emerged more quickly from a refuge and spent more time in the open areas of their 105 experimental tanks—behaviors that could expose them to increased predation risk in the wild 106 (Kurvers et al., 2018). In smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*), experimental exposure to 107 ALAN induced increased activity during nest-guarding, which was posited to potentially have 108 negative fitness consequences (Foster et al., 2016). Czarnecka et al. (2019) found that exposure 109 to nighttime lighting increased nocturnal foraging rates on invertebrates by Eurasian perch 110 (Perca fluviatilis). And Western mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis) experimentally exposed to 111 ALAN had an overall lower swimming activity and lower glucose levels in the brain (Miner et 112 al., 2021). Despite all this mounting evidence for effects of ALAN on activity level and foraging 113 behavior in fish, we do not know how prolonged exposure to ALAN in the field may cause 114 demographic, phenotypic, or evolutionary consequences. Clearly, we need field-based studies in 115 urban aquatic systems to better understand the effects of ALAN on fish populations.

116	In this study, we tested how prolonged exposure to ALAN (~3-25 years) in nature has
117	affected basic demography and key phenotypes in a common freshwater fish, Eastern
118	mosquitofish (Gambusia holbrooki). These fish regularly inhabit urban streams, ponds, and
119	lakes; some of these aquatic environments are exposed to varying degrees of ALAN. Eastern
120	mosquitofish are visually oriented, relying primarily on their eyesight for foraging, social and
121	sexual interactions, and escaping predation (Ward & Mehner, 2010). These small, livebearing
122	fish have relatively short generation times (~2-3 per year) and are diurnal, relatively abundant,
123	easily observed, and amenable to laboratory experiments. These features make them model
124	organisms for testing the role of ALAN in causing altered ecology and evolution (e.g. population
125	density, morphology, behavior).
126	Based on prior work, we hypothesized that G. holbrooki residing in urban freshwater
127	bodies will experience adaptive phenotypic shifts in response to the altered selection regimes
128	caused by prolonged exposure to ALAN. Gambusia fishes are known to exhibit rapid,
129	ecologically driven phenotypic shifts via plasticity or evolution (e.g. Blanchard et al., 2024;
130	Heinen-Kay et al., 2014; Jenkins et al., 2021; Langerhans, 2009; Moody & Lozano-Vilano,
131	2018; Riesch et al., 2015, 2018; Santi et al., 2020; Stearns, 1983; Stockwell & Weeks, 1999;
132	Wood et al., 2022). We wished to test a series of predictions for adaptive differentiation in
133	response to ALAN. To do this, we examined five pairs of populations, where each pair resided in
134	a separate drainage and comprised an ALAN-exposed population and an ALAN-naïve
135	population. We tested for ecological and phenotypic shifts by conducting measurements in situ in
136	the field. If novel traits have enabled fish to tolerate or exploit ALAN, we should see 1) little-to-
137	no differences in population demographics such as population density, age structure, or sex ratio,
138	2) little-to-no differences in body size or body condition, and 3) behavioral differences that could

139 show parallel or non-parallel changes across drainages and reflect some combination of plasticity 140 and evolutionary change. Alternatively, if negative impacts of ALAN are relatively long-lasting 141 irrespective of possible behavioral shifts, we should observe in ALAN-exposed populations: 1) 142 differences in demography, such as reduced population density or reduced juvenile recruitment, 143 and/or 2) reduced adult body size or condition, especially in populations with shorter time 144 periods of ALAN exposure. For adaptive, ALAN-induced behavioral shifts, we specifically 145 predicted 1) elevated incidence of nighttime activity, 2) increased swimming speed and use of 146 body and caudal fin periodic swimming during the night, 3) reduced daytime foraging and 147 feeding rates owing to successful nighttime feeding, and 4) little change in daytime rates of 148 aggression or sexual behaviors. These behavioral predictions largely derived from hypotheses of 149 increased nighttime social and feeding activity in the presence of ALAN, as nighttime lighting 150 should permit fish to effectively perform a range of visually guided behaviors that are important 151 for fitness while experiencing reduced predation risk during the relatively dimly lit nights 152 compared to the day (Nelson et al., 2022). We note two alternative possibilities for behavioral 153 shifts: 1) if the increased energy expenditure of nighttime activity is not compensated for by 154 nighttime energy acquisition in ALAN-exposed populations, then we could observe elevated 155 daytime foraging and feeding rates, and 2) proximate effects of sleep deprivation and altered 156 circadian rhythms / hormone dynamics could result in elevated aggression and/or reduced 157 motivation for sexual behaviors during the day (e.g. Gutierrez-Perez et al., 2023; Van der Meer 158 et al., 2004). And finally, if fish increase activity during the night in the presence of ALAN, they 159 might also exhibit changes in spatial habitat use owing to altered risk/reward dynamics in dimly-160 lit nighttime conditions, but it is currently not clear how this might manifest.

161

162 **METHODS** 

163

164 Study Sites

165

166 We investigated 10 urban populations of G. holbrooki in North Carolina, USA. All 167 localities were within the Neuse River Basin near the city of Raleigh (in the city limits of Apex, Cary, Morrisville, and Raleigh) in an approximately 160 km<sup>2</sup> area. To test for differences 168 169 between populations exposed and unexposed to ALAN, we carefully selected 5 pairs of sites, 170 with each pair located in a separate drainage (Table 1; Fig 1). Each pair comprised a "Dark" site 171 and an "ALAN" site in close proximity to one another. The Dark sites had never previously 172 experienced substantial ALAN as far as we know, but sometimes had a small influence of distant 173 lights from parking lots or buildings. The ALAN sites had all experienced artificial lighting from 174 adjacent street lights, parking lots, or parking garages for at least the prior 3 years (Table 1). 175 With one exception (Speight Branch), ALAN sites experienced the influence of ALAN across 176 the entire site.

To focus on the effects of ALAN in this comparative study, we attempted to select sites that were similar in other aspects. For instance, all sites were permanent bodies of water with a pond-like habitat, having inlet and outlet streams. In each site, *G. holbrooki* was abundant and easily viewed from above the water's surface. While we did not quantitatively survey aquatic communities, differences should be minimal across such similar habitats within these adjacent drainages (Olden et al., 2001; Zbinden et al., 2022), and we observed similar presence of fish species at all sites (e.g. bluegill, *Lepomis macrochirus*, largemouth bass, *Micropterus nigricans*).

184 Moreover, ALAN regime was not confounded with any measured water parameters (see Table

### 185 A1).

191

## 186 **Table 1**

187 Summary of nighttime light exposure magnitude (lux) and history (years) for each study site, as well as

the distance between	paired sites	within	each	drainage
----------------------	--------------	--------	------	----------

Drainage	ALAN Status	Max Lux	Mean Lux	Years with ALAN	Pair Distance (m)
Speight Branch	ALAN	0.34	0.19	13	150
	DARK	0.00	0.00	0	150
Walnut Creek	ALAN	5.33	2.38	16	200
	DARK	0.10	0.02	0	200
Stirrup Iron Creek	ALAN	6.60	4.02	3	120
	DARK	0.00	0.00	0	150
Brier Creek	ALAN	7.99	6.46	15	1 175
	DARK	0.08	0.04	0	1,175
Williams Creek	ALAN	30.51	24.63	25	1 100
	DARK	0.05	0.02	0	1,100

<sup>189</sup> Note that values of 0.00 lux indicate light values less than 0.01 lux, and not an absolute absence of light.

190 To characterize the nighttime lighting at each site, we measured the light intensity and

192 day that we measured water-quality parameters and conducted demographic and activity surveys

color of relevant artificial light sources during the night (23:00 - 01:30). We did this on the same

193 (see below). Subsequent light measurements for each site approximately one year later yielded

194 nearly identical results (i.e. high repeatability of light-intensity measurements, intraclass

195 correlation coefficient: r = 0.98, P < 0.0001). To estimate the light intensity from all relevant

196 light sources, we walked the perimeter of each site to identify 5-7 locations that would accurately

197 represent the typical (and maximum) light conditions experienced by *G. holbrooki* at night

198 (typically in shallow, nearshore habitat). We then measured the illuminance near the water

- 199 surface at each of these locations using an Extech EA30 (Nashua, New Hampshire) light meter,
- 200 recording the lux to the nearest 0.01 units. While all Dark sites exhibited little to no influence of
- 201 ALAN during the night, ALAN sites spanned a moderate gradient of light levels (Table 1). Thus,



Figure 1. (a) Map of the 5 pairs of field sites near the city of Raleigh, North Carolina, USA, depicting the
ALAN (white symbols) and Dark (black symbols) localities. Names indicate the five separate drainages.
(b) Nighttime photograph of the ALAN site in the Williams Creek drainage.



- 223 equivalent to a clear, full moon (Kyba et al., 2017), but fish in different ALAN sites experienced
- 224 either very dim nighttime lighting (Speight Branch) or moderate levels of nighttime lighting
- similar to lighting recommendations for most outdoor stairways, roadways, and pedestrian areas
- 226 (recommendations range from 4-34 lux, Bureau of Street Lighting 2007). Moreover, ALAN sites

227	varied in the timescale of exposure to ALAN, ranging from relatively short-term exposure
228	(Stirrup Iron Creek) to more than two decades (Williams Creek) (Table 1). While light intensity
229	could vary temporally at each site, variation is likely not particularly large for these sources of
230	ALAN. To estimate the color (spectra) of all influential light sources at each ALAN site, we
231	measured irradiance using a Jaz spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, Florida). Near the water's
232	edge, we pointed the end of an irradiance fiber optic cable toward all relevant light sources and
233	saved spectra to a computer. Nearly all sources of ALAN at these sites derived from LED
234	lighting with similar spectra properties, with only one site having additional types of lighting
235	substantively influencing the site (Fig. A1). Thus, all ALAN sites experienced relatively similar
236	spectra of lighting at night.
237	
238	Population demographics, habitat use, and activity
239	
240	To test for effects of ALAN on overall population demographics and diel patterns of
241	habitat use and activity, we conducted randomized quadrat surveys of G. holbrooki during day
242	and night at each site in the summer of 2020 (27 June – 6 August). Gambusia are most active
243	during summer months because of longer photoperiods and warmer waters (Alcaraz & García-
244	Berthou, 2007; Gao et al., 2019; Martin, 1975). At each site, we assigned 20 random 1m <sup>2</sup>
245	quadrats spaced at least 3m apart and within 1m of the shoreline for observation. Previous work
246	has found this method effective for Gambusia fishes in these habitat types (Araujo et al., 2014;
247	Heinen-Kay et al., 2014; Riesch et al., 2015). Water depth was <0.5m for all quadrats. We
248	selected this shallow, nearshore habitat type because of its prolific and obvious use by $G$ .

*holbrooki*, as well as its ease of visual assessment; however, these fish can utilize more offshore

250 and slightly deeper environments as well. For each quadrat, a single observer (MRJ) counted the 251 number and sex/age class (male, female, juvenile) of each G. holbrooki, and whether the quadrat 252 contained active fish or not (0 vs. 1) during both the day and night survey at each site. We 253 defined activity simply based on the presence of swimming behaviors, with inactive fish 254 remaining stationary unless disturbed. Because G. holbrooki is a highly active, diurnal fish, we 255 expected to only encounter inactive fish during the night. To visually observe fish, we carefully 256 walked around the perimeter of each site, slowly approaching each quadrat to avoid any 257 disturbance to the best of our abilities. During the night surveys, handheld flashlights with red 258 filters were used for visual observations—we used red light to minimize disturbance of G. 259 holbrooki (Fitzpatrick et al., 2013; Harvey et al., 2012; Widder et al., 2005). Lights were only 260 turned on and pointed toward the water during quadrat observations. In each case, the daytime 261 and nighttime surveys were conducted within 10-12 hours of each other during midday (~12:00-262 13:30) and approximately 2 hours past sunset (~23:00-0:30).

263 From these 400 quadrats (20 quadrats per 10 populations during both day and night), we calculated density (# individuals per  $m^2$ ), age structure (# juveniles / # total), sex ratio (# females 264 265 / # adults), and proportion active (# active / # total). Because some quadrats had either no adults 266 or no fish present, less than 400 data points were examined for estimates of sex ratio (n = 366), 267 as well as age structure and activity (n = 378). Density, age structure, and sex ratio were included 268 as dependent variables in general linear models to test for effects of drainage, ALAN presence, 269 day/night, and all interactions. In this way, we could test our hypotheses concerning how ALAN 270 might influence these variables, whether effects of ALAN might be drainage-specific, whether 271 ALAN might alter diel patterns (i.e. day/night differences), and whether ALAN might have 272 altered diel patterns in drainage-specific manners. This means that in addition to testing

273 population differences in demographic variables, we can detect diel shifts in habitat use; e.g. a 274 more female-biased sex ratio observed in shallow, nearshore water during the day compared to 275 the night implies that females may have used offshore or deeper waters more at night relative to 276 males. To meet assumptions of normality of residuals, we  $\log_{10}$ -transformed density and arcsine square-root transformed age structure and sex ratio. We calculated  $\eta^2$  as an estimate of effect size 277 278 for each model term to evaluate relative importance of potential effects. To directly test for 279 among-population associations between the magnitude of ALAN and demographic variables, we 280 also tested the Pearson correlation between the maximum lux of each site and the day/night mean 281 estimates of each demographic variable. Note that these results were qualitatively the same as 282 those obtained through general linear mixed models testing for effects of maximum lux while 283 including a random term for population throughout this study. Because we observed an 284 intriguing pattern of sex-ratio differences between ALAN and Dark sites that could have resulted 285 from density-dependent effects (see Results), we conducted separate analysis of covariance 286 (ANCOVA) models for the daytime and nighttime data using population means that tested for 287 variation in sex ratio attributable to density, ALAN presence, and their interaction. In this way, 288 we could determine whether difference between ALAN and Dark sites could be statistically 289 explained by a correlation with density, or alternatively persisted after statistically adjusting for 290 variation in density.

To test variation in activity incidence, we did not conduct a general linear model as we did for demographic data because 15 of the 20 surveys exhibited no variation among quadrats (either all fish active or all fish inactive) and differences among surveys were apparent without statistical analysis. However, to specifically test the hypothesis that higher intensity of ALAN might lead to greater frequency of nighttime activity, we tested for a positive correlation between

the proportion of quadrats with active fish during night surveys and the maximum lux of each
site. To accomplish this, we used the non-parametric Spearman correlation because of the nonnormality of the activity data. We conducted analyses in JMP software (v. 16.0, 2021, SAS
Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA).

300

301 Locomotor Activity

302

303 To test whether ALAN influenced locomotor activity of G. holbrooki, we wanted to 304 observe swimming behaviors and measure swimming speed and swimming style *in situ* in the 305 absence of any possible human interference. To accomplish this, on the same days and nights 306 when we conducted quadrat surveys, we recorded approximately eight videos during both day 307 and night from overhead with an infrared-receptive camera (Panasonic HC-WXF991) at  $3840 \times$ 308 2160 resolution. To capture video of swimming fish and accurately measure their swimming 309 behavior, we recorded videos in areas where G. holbrooki had been observed and restricted 310 analysis to fish near the water surface (< 3 cm deep, limiting vertical displacement of fish). 311 Video locations were separated by at least 5 m within sites. We mounted the video camera on a 312 tripod, and in each case recorded video at 30 frames per sec for approximately five minutes in 313 the absence of any nearby human from ~0.5 m above the water surface (~50 cm  $\times$  30 cm field of 314 view). At the beginning of each video, a 3-cm laminated grid was placed just below the water 315 surface for scale (G. holbrooki typically swim near the surface). During night recordings, we 316 illuminated the field of view using the camera's built-in infrared light, as well as two 850 nm 317 infrared Souyos flashlights mounted onto the tripod. The videos provided clear views of

unambiguously undisturbed fish for the measurement of swimming behavior during short timeperiods.

320 From the videos, we selected 30 fish for measurement that met the following criteria 321 during each observation period at each site: active fish performing routine behaviors near the 322 water surface, not directly interacting with conspecifics or other fish species, and in the camera 323 view  $\geq$  5 sec. Within dark sites most fish were inactive during quadrat surveys, but video 324 analysis revealed that some fish within these localities exhibited at least occasional active 325 swimming behaviors. Because we could not clearly determine the sex or age class of all 326 individuals in the videos, we instead selected fish of varying sizes from each site in an effort to 327 provide a representative sample of fish from each population. For these fish, we measured 1) 328 body size (standard length, SL) using tpsDig2 (Rohlf, 2017) with a screenshot from the video 329 that provided a clear view of the unbent fish body, and 2) swimming speed during a 5-second 330 video segment using DLTdv8 (Hedrick, 2008). SL was defined as the length between the tip of 331 the snout and the posterior end of the vertebrae. In DLTdv8, we digitized the snout tip of the fish 332 every 3rd video frame (i.e. every 0.1 sec) of the video segment (51 points spanning 50 time 333 steps). This frequency of measurement resulted in smooth displacement by time graphs, 334 indicating an adequate spacing with relatively low measurement error and little missing 335 information between time steps. For each time step, we calculated swimming speed as distance 336 traveled (mm) divided by time (sec). We then calculated the average swimming speed and 337 coefficient of variation of swimming speed ( $CV = std. dev. / mean \times 100$ ) for each fish. 338 While average swimming speed was measured to capture the overall movement rate of 339 fish, we calculated the CV of swimming speed to capture aspects of the swimming style. During 340 the day, G. holbrooki typically exhibit body and caudal fin periodic propulsion during routine

341 swimming (e.g. see Blake, 2004). However, during the night we noticed that active fish often 342 displayed a burst-and-coast swimming style, typified by a rapid dart followed by a coast phase 343 and a variable period of little motion. Moreover, while fish rarely come to a stop during routine 344 swimming in the daytime, we observed stopping behaviors at night. This burst-and-coast 345 behavior is characterized by high temporal variation in velocity compared to body and caudal fin 346 periodic swimming, and thus the CV of swimming speed from video analysis should capture this 347 variation (higher CV implies more burst-and-coast swimming and less body and caudal fin 348 periodic propulsion).

349 Statistical analysis of average swimming speed and CV of swimming speed followed that 350 described above for demographic variables, with the addition of body size (SL) as a covariate to 351 statistically control for effects of body size. That is, we again conducted general linear models to test for effects of drainage, ALAN, day/night, and all interactions, and calculated  $\eta^2$  as estimates 352 353 of effect size. We log<sub>10</sub>-transformed swimming speed to meet assumptions for analysis. We 354 observed two outliers-two individuals in Speight Branch ALAN site had extremely low average 355 swimming speeds—and excluded these two fish from analysis. To test whether average daytime 356 or nighttime locomotor activity was associated with maximum lux at night, we tested for Pearson 357 correlations using population means.

358

359 Adult Daytime Behaviors

360

During the day, immediately following the demographic quadrat surveys, we conducted focal animal sampling (Bateson & Martin, 2021) along the shoreline to measure the frequency of common behaviors of *G. holbrooki*. All behavioral observations occurred during midday (~12:30

-15:30) because focal sampling was not feasible at night. During each observation, a single 364 365 observer (MRJ) visually followed one adult fish (see Table A2 for sample sizes) for a brief time 366 (mean  $\pm$  std. err. = females: 79.5 sec  $\pm$  1.5, males: 73.8 sec  $\pm$  1.8), recording the number of 367 occurrences of four behaviors: foraging (prey inspections), feeding (bites), aggression (chasing 368 or circling another fish), and sexual behaviors (male-female chase, mating attempt). For each 369 fish, the observer attempted to follow the fish and record behavioral frequencies for 370 approximately 90 sec, but view of the fish was frequently lost prior to this time point (e.g. lost in 371 group of fish, briefly obscured by plant structure). To avoid observing the same individual 372 multiple times, we took the following precautions: 1) we specifically selected fish of different sex or size for observations within a given  $\sim 3m^2$  area, 2) we systematically moved in one 373 374 direction along the shoreline between observations (avoiding returning to area already 375 examined), 3) we covered a large area of each site, with most observations of the same sex 376 conducted at least several meters from one another, and 4) performed all behavioral observations 377 within a relatively short time frame at each site to prevent the potential for fish to move large 378 distances (from 81 to 119 minutes per site). These methods and timeframes have been previously 379 used successfully to characterize behaviors of poeciliid fish, including Gambusia, in situ (e.g. 380 Heinen et al., 2013; Köhler et al., 2011; Kraft et al., 2016; Tobler et al., 2009). Here, we typically 381 observed a number of behaviors during these observation periods, indicating the timeframe was 382 biologically relevant (mean  $\pm$  std. err. = 6.8 behaviors  $\pm$  0.3 per fish). Out of the 430 total fish we 383 observed, only seven performed no behaviors during our observations. 384 For analysis, we first converted all behavioral observations to behavioral frequencies (# /

min). We analyzed behaviors separately by sex because of the strong differences in all behaviors
 between the sexes. To account for non-independence of behavioral variables and reduce

387	dimensionality, as well as generate trait axes that meet assumptions of general linear models, we
388	conducted Principal Components Analysis (PCA) using the correlation matrix of the four
389	behaviors separately by sex. We retained the first two PC axes in each case (those with
390	eigenvalues > 1), accounting for 74.51% of variance in females and 72.08% of variance in males.
391	For both sexes, PC 1 described variation in the rate of foraging and feeding behaviors, while PC
392	2 described a tradeoff where more positive scores were associated with higher aggression
393	frequencies and lower frequencies of sexual behaviors and negative scores reflected lower
394	aggression frequencies and higher frequencies of sexual behaviors (Table 2).
395	To test our hypotheses that ALAN might lead to changes in daytime G. holbrooki
396	behavior, we constructed general linear models using the four relevant PC axes as dependent
397	variables and drainage, ALAN status, and their interaction as independent variables. To assess
398	whether the magnitude of nighttime lighting might influence daytime behaviors, we further
399	examined among-population associations between average behavioral PC scores and maximum
400	nighttime lux at each site using Pearson correlation. Because results for females suggested that
401	the geographic proximity of paired sites might influence behavioral differences within drainages,
402	we additionally conducted tests for associations between behavioral differentiation (difference in
403	Tabla 2

Table 2

Loadings and variance explained for principal components analysis of behavioral frequencies for female and male *G. holbrooki* (n = 430) observed within the 10 focal populations 

	Females		Ma	les
Behavior	PC 1	PC 2	PC 1	PC 2
Prey Inspection Freq.	0.97	-0.02	0.93	0.03
Biting Freq.	0.97	0.02	0.88	-0.09
Aggression Freq.	-0.21	0.75	-0.25	0.72
Sexual Behavior Freq.	-0.23	-0.67	-0.30	-0.75
Percent Variance Explained	49.36	25.14	44.91	27.17

Behaviors with strongest loadings on each PC axis in bold. 

409	PC scores) and geographic distance for each sex using Pearson correlation. This specifically
410	tested whether behavioral differences between ALAN and Dark sites tended to increase with
411	increasing distance between the sites.

412

413 Body Size and Condition

414

415 To investigate whether ALAN might influence body size or condition of G. holbrooki, 416 we examined approximately 20-30 adults of each sex from each site (Table A2), measuring their 417 length, weight, and length-specific weight. We collected fish using seines and dip nets during 20 418 September -2 October 2020, separately photographed and weighed each fish alive, and either 419 returned them to their site of collection (6 populations) or housed them in the laboratory at North 420 Carolina State University for subsequent experimentation in a separate study (4 populations). In 421 all cases, we collected fish from each population within a given drainage within 24 hrs of each 422 other. We attempted to collect and measure adults representative of the size distribution within 423 each population. To measure SL, we placed each fish in a polypropylene beaker (9-cm diameter) 424 with  $\sim 100$  ml of water with a laminated ruler positioned on the bottom, and captured a 425 photograph from above using a tripod-mounted DSLR camera (Canon T3i; Canon Inc., Tokyo, 426 Japan) with a macro lens (Sigma 50 mm f/2.8 EX DG Macro; Sigma Corp., Ronkonkoma, New 427 York). We then used tpsDig2 (Rohlf, 2017) to measure SL from the digital images. We measured 428 the mass of each fish to the nearest 0.001 g by gently and briefly drying each fish in a small 429 aquarium net and placing them into a 0.5L beaker filled with ~10ml of water that was tared on a 430 balance (Adventurer model, OHAUS Corp., Parsippany, New Jersey, USA). We have previously 431 demonstrated very high repeatability for these methods of measuring SL and mass in adult G. 432 holbrooki (Langerhans et al., 2021).

433	For analysis of body size, we examined log <sub>10</sub> -transformed SL and log <sub>10</sub> -transformed
434	mass. For analysis of body condition, we examined Fulton's $K$ (weight relative to an expectation
435	of simple isometric growth, $K = \text{mass/SL}^3 \times 100$ ). Note that Fulton's K was highly correlated
436	with residuals from a linear regression of $log_{10}$ -transformed mass on $log_{10}$ -transformed SL ( $r =$
437	0.96). To test whether ALAN influenced body size or condition of G. holbrooki, we constructed
438	general linear models using these three variables as dependent variables, and drainage, ALAN,
439	sex, and their interactions as independent variables. We calculated $\eta^2$ as estimates of effect size
440	to evaluate the relative importance of model terms. We tested for among-population correlations
441	of body size and condition with the magnitude of ALAN using Pearson correlation with sex-
442	specific means. Because body condition might partially reflect overall health / fitness of adults,
443	we tested for evidence that population mixing / gene flow might constrain adaptive
444	differentiation by testing associations between body-condition differentiation among paired sites
445	(mean condition in ALAN – mean condition in Dark) and geographic distance between sites
446	using Pearson correlation separately by sex.
447	
448	Ethical Note
449	
450	This work was conducted with approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee
451	of North Carolina State University (protocol 19-756-O) and the North Carolina Wildlife
452	Resources Commission (license 20-SFC00250).
453	
454	Results
455	

457

458	For population density, we found evidence for the influence of all model terms except the
459	interaction between ALAN and day/night (Table 3). Based on effect-size estimates, the strongest
460	influences on density involved drainage-specific effects of ALAN that partially depended on
461	day/night. This means that ALAN tended to have heterogeneous effects on G. holbrooki density.
462	Specifically, ALAN sites exhibited greater average density than Dark sites within three drainages
463	(Speight Branch, Stirrup Iron, Brier Creek), while Dark sites never showed higher densities than
464	ALAN sites within any drainage (Fig. 2a). Thus ALAN was sometimes, but not always,
465	associated with higher population densities of G. holbrooki. Moreover, in ALAN sites we
466	observed higher densities in shallow, nearshore water during the day than night within three
467	drainages (Speight Branch, Walnut, Stirrup Iron; Fig. 2a). This diel change in density within
468	shallow, nearshore habitat suggests that fish shifted offshore or generally spread out across these
469	sites in a less aggregated spatial distribution at night. Within one drainage (Williams Creek), this
470	pattern was observed within the Dark site, while the opposite pattern (higher density at night
471	compared to the day) was exhibited in the ALAN site. Note that higher observed densities during
472	the day cannot be explained as an artifact of lower detectability of G. holbrooki at night because
473	this pattern was not observed in the majority of sites. We found no association between day or
474	night density and maximum nighttime lux (both $P > 0.20$ ).
475	For age structure, we again found evidence for the influence of all model terms except the
476	interaction between ALAN and day/night (Table 3). The strongest effects on the proportion of
477	juveniles involved differences between drainages and drainage-specific effects of ALAN. We

478 observed a greater average proportion of juveniles in ALAN sites compared to Dark sites within

Table 3. Results of general linear models examining variation in density, proportion of juveniles, and
 proportion of females attributable to effects of drainage, ALAN, day vs. night, and their interactions

								Drainage ×	
					Drainage	Drainage ×	$ALAN \times$	$ALAN \times$	
Trait	Stat.	Drainage	ALAN	Day/Night	$\times$ ALAN	Day/Night	Day/Night	Day/Night	$\mathbb{R}^2$
Density	F	14.82	77.09	22.26	22.81	4.93	1.03	18.46	0.48
	d.f.	4,380	1,380	1,380	4,380	4,380	1,380	4,380	
	Р	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0007	0.3108	<0.0001	
	$\eta^2$	8.18	10.64	3.07	12.60	2.72	0.14	10.19	
Prop.	F	20.73	39.15	4.30	14.34	4.57	0.45	3.22	0.37
Juv.	d.f.	4,358	1,358	1,358	4,358	4,358	1,358	4,358	
	P	<0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0388	<0.0001	0.0013	0.5045	0.0130	
	$\eta^2$	14.56	6.88	0.76	10.07	3.21	0.08	2.26	
Prop.	F	1.89	33.41	1.74	8.70	0.83	8.86	2.49	0.22
Fem.	d.f.	4,346	1,346	1,346	4,346	4,346	1,346	4,346	
	P	0.1125	<0.0001	0.1879	<0.0001	0.5095	0.0031	0.0428	
	$\eta^2$	1.70	7.52	0.39	7.83	0.74	1.99	2.25	

 $\overline{P \text{ values} \le 0.05 \text{ in bold}}, \eta^2 \ge 5\% \text{ in bold}.$ 









Figure 2. Variation among ALAN and Dark populations for both day and night across the five drainages
in (a) density, (b) proportion of juveniles, (c) proportion of females, and (d) proportion of active G.

*holbrooki* observed during visual surveys ("0" indicates no active fish observed). Mean  $\pm 1$  SE depicted.

two drainages (Stirrup Iron, Brier Creek); we never observed greater proportion of juveniles in 487 488 Dark sites compared to ALAN sites within any drainage (Fig. 2b). This suggests that ALAN was 489 sometimes, but not always, associated with a shift in age structure toward greater a proportion of 490 juveniles. Further, while we did not observe strong differences in the proportion of juveniles 491 between day and night in most sites, one drainage (Williams Creek) was characterized by a 492 decrease in proportion of juveniles observed at night compared to the day (Fig. 2b). This 493 suggests that within this drainage G. holbrooki may show an age-based diel shift in habitat use, 494 with juveniles, but not adults (see results for density), tending to disperse at night toward a less 495 aggregated spatial distribution. We observed no association between day or night proportion of 496 juveniles and maximum nighttime lux (both P > 0.40).

497 We found that all model terms involving ALAN had an influence on sex ratio, but we 498 found no evidence for effects of other terms (Table 3). The strongest effects involved drainage-499 specific ALAN influences on the proportion of females observed. Within three drainages 500 (Speight Branch, Stirrup Iron, Brier Creek), we tended to observe more female-biased sex ratios 501 in Dark sites compared to ALAN sites (Fig. 2c). Additionally, for most drainages we tended to 502 observe more female-biased sex ratios during the day compared to the night in ALAN sites but 503 not in Dark sites, although this was never especially strong (Fig. 2c). Together, these results 504 suggest that ALAN was sometimes associated with an overall lower relative abundance of adult 505 females in G. holbrooki populations, and more commonly associated with a moderate, sex-506 specific diel habitat shift. Using ANCOVAs to test whether these patterns might be explained by 507 correlations with population density, we found that 1) mean daytime sex ratio was explained by a 508 negative correlation with daytime density ( $F_{1,6} = 7.97$ , P = 0.0302) and not by ALAN ( $F_{1,6} =$ 509 0.03, P = 0.86) or their interaction ( $F_{1,6} = 0.88$ , P = 0.38), while 2) mean nighttime sex ratio

510 exhibited a relatively lower proportion of females in ALAN populations ( $F_{1.6} = 7.19, P =$ 511 0.0365), but showed no effects of nighttime density ( $F_{1,6} = 2.54$ , P = 0.16) or the interaction term 512  $(F_{1,6} = 0.30, P = 0.61)$ . Note that results were similar if we substituted daytime density for 513 nighttime density in the latter analysis. Thus, only nighttime sex ratios differed between ALAN 514 and Dark sites after statistically adjusting for population density, indicating ALAN was 515 associated with sex-specific diel shifts but not overall differences in sex ratio. Inspecting the 516 density of each sex separately, there was a pattern for both sexes, where females tended to utilize 517 nearshore habitat more during the day than night within ALAN sites (female density 31% higher 518 in daytime), while males tended to utilize nearshore habitat more at night than the day (male 519 density 14% lower in daytime). No association between day or night proportion of females and 520 maximum nighttime lux was observed (both P > 0.45).

521 We found that all G. holbrooki observed during the day within all sites were active, but at 522 night active fish were more frequently encountered in ALAN sites than Dark sites within all five 523 drainages (Fig. 2d). This pattern was strongly apparent in all but one drainage: in the Speight 524 Branch drainage, the ALAN site experienced an especially low lux from nearby ALAN (Table 1) 525 and also did not show high frequencies of active fish at night. We never observed active fish 526 during quadrat sampling at night within the Dark sites for 4 of the 5 drainages (Fig. 2d). Across all sites, average nighttime activity was positively associated with maximum lux ( $\rho = 0.92$ , P =527 528 0.0001).

529

530 Locomotor Activity

532	We found that all model terms were important in influencing mean swimming speed,
533	while most terms were influential for CV of swimming speed (Table 4). By far, the strongest
534	effect was the diel effect, where G. holbrooki swam faster during the day than night (Fig. 3a) and
535	exhibited a higher CV for swimming speed (i.e. greater burst-and-coast swimming style) at night
536	compared to the day (Fig. 3b). Only in the ALAN site in the Williams Creek drainage-where
537	nighttime lux was especially high-did we not observe a reduction in mean swimming speed and
538	increase in CV during the night. We also found that active fish tended to swim faster in ALAN
539	sites than Dark sites in most cases-during the day this pattern occurred in three drainages
540	(Speight Branch, Stirrup Iron, Brier Creek), while during the night it was observed in four
541	drainages (all but Speight Branch, which has a very low light intensity) (Fig. 3a). Meanwhile,
542	CV of swimming speed showed highly heterogeneous associations with ALAN (Fig. 3b). Testing
543	for among-site associations between locomotor activity and maximum lux at night, we found no
544	association for daytime average swimming speed ( $r = 0.47$ , $P = 0.17$ ), a positive correlation for
545	nighttime average swimming speed ( $r = 0.64$ , $P = 0.0447$ ), no correlation for daytime CV of
546	swimming speed ( $r = -0.24$ , $P = 0.51$ ), and a suggestive negative correlation for nighttime CV of
547	Table 4

- Results of general linear models examining variation in the mean and coefficient of variation in swimming speed attributable to effects of drainage, ALAN, day vs. night, their interactions, and the body-548 549 550 size covariate (SL)

								Drainage ×		
					Drainage	Drainage ×	$ALAN \times$	$ALAN \times$		
Trait	Stat.	Drainage	ALAN	Day/Night	$\times$ ALAN	Day/Night	Day/Night	Day/Night	SL	R <sup>2</sup>
Mean	F	25.96	43.14	360.60	21.44	12.67	6.73	25.91	69.37	0.5
Swim	<i>d.f.</i>	4,577	1,577	1,577	4,577	4,577	1,577	4,577	1,577	
Speed	Р	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0097	<0.0001	<0.0001	
	$\eta^2$	8.05	3.34	27.95	6.65	3.93	0.52	8.03	5.38	
CV (%)	F	12.70	3.47	295.36	32.56	19.09	1.09	24.13	5.32	0.5
Swim	<i>d.f.</i>	4,577	1,577	1,577	4,577	4,577	1,577	4,577	1,577	
Speed	P	<0.0001	0.0631	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.2978	<0.0001	0.0215	
-	$\eta^2$	4.17	0.28	24.24	10.69	6.27	0.09	7.92	0.44	

551 *P* values  $\leq 0.05$  in bold,  $\eta^2 \geq 5\%$  in bold. swimming speed (r = -0.61, P = 0.0612). Thus, we observed evidence that higher intensity of nighttime lighting in the field was associated with faster nighttime swimming and a tendency for less burst-and-coast style swimming, at least under the highest levels of ALAN examined in this study.



**Figure 3.** Variation in *G. holbrooki* (a) swimming speed and (b) coefficient of variation among populations during both day and night. Mean  $\pm 1$  SE depicted.

- 560 Adult Daytime Behaviors
- 561

562 For females, we found effects of ALAN on the first behavioral PC axis and drainage-specific 563 ALAN effects on PC 2 (Table 5). Further examination of PC1 revealed that ALAN effects were 564 only apparent within three drainages (Fig. 4a), consistent with the marginally non-significant 565 interaction term. Overall, females exhibited behavioral differences between ALAN and Dark 566 sites within every drainage but Stirrup Iron Creek. First, females exhibited similarly low daytime 567 foraging and feeding rates within all ALAN sites, with Dark sites showing among-site variation 568 (Fig. 4a). Second, females tended to show higher rates of aggression and lower sexual-behavior 569 rates in ALAN sites within the Brier Creek drainage and more weakly within the Williams Creek 570 drainage, but the opposite pattern was observed within the Walnut Creek drainage (Fig. 4b). We

## Table 5

571 572 573 Results of general linear models examining variation in female and male *G. holbrooki* daytime behaviors attributable to effects of drainage, ALAN, and their interaction

				Drainage	
Trait	Stat.	Drainage	ALAN	× ALAN	$\mathbb{R}^2$
Female	F	1.96	20.53	2.20	0.15
PC 1	d.f.	4,205	1,205	5,205	
	P	0.1012	<0.0001	0.0704	
	$\eta^2$	3.25	8.48	3.63	
Female	F	3.18	0.76	2.88	0.11
PC 2	d.f.	4,205	1,205	5,205	
	P	0.0146	0.3840	0.0237	
	$\eta^2$	5.52	0.33	5.00	
Male	F	2.43	0.00	1.27	0.07
PC 1	<i>d.f.</i>	4,205	1,205	5,205	
	P	0.0487	0.9535	0.2829	
	$\eta^2$	4.42	0.00	2.31	
Male	F	1.09	6.25	0.68	0.06
PC 2	d.f.	4,205	1,205	5,205	
	P	0.3609	0.0132	0.6076	
	$\eta^2$	2.00	2.85	1.24	
P values	$\leq 0.05$	in bold, $\eta^2$	$\geq$ 5% in bo	old.	

574 
$$\overline{P}$$
 values  $\leq 0.05$  in bold,  $\eta^2 \geq 5\%$  in bo

575

576	found no clear association between maximum nighttime lux at each site and behavioral PC 1
577	scores ( $r = -0.44$ , $P = 0.20$ ) or behavioral PC 2 scores ( $r = 0.24$ , $P = 0.51$ ). When examining
578	patterns for behavioral differentiation within drainages, we found that behavioral differences
579	between paired ALAN-Dark sites tended to increase with increasing geographic distance
580	between them ( $r = 0.87$ , $P = 0.0550$ ). Thus, smaller behavioral differences tended to occur
581	among localities situated in close proximity to one another, such as within the Stirrup Iron Creek
582	drainage.
583	Males showed fewer behavioral differences between ALAN and Dark sites than females,
584	however they tended to display higher aggression rates and lower sexual behavior rates in ALAN
585	sites within most drainages (Table 5, Fig. 4c,d). For the overall patterns most often observed for

586 females (lower foraging/feeding, higher aggression, lower sexual-behavior rates in ALAN), males only strongly exhibited this pattern within the Williams Creek drainage. We found maximum lux at night was not associated with average behavioral PC 1 scores (r = -0.29, P =0.41), but was positively associated with behavioral PC 2 scores (r = 0.79, P = 0.0069). Thus, males exhibited higher rates of aggression and lower rates of sexual behaviors during the day in populations that have experienced a greater magnitude of nighttime lighting. Behavioral differentiation between paired ALAN-Dark sites in males showed no clear association with the geographic distance between them (r = 0.66, P = 0.23).





596 597 **Figure 4.** Variation among populations in daytime behavioral frequencies for (a,b) female and (c,d) male 598 *G. holbrooki* along principal component axes. More positive scores of PC 1 describes increasing rates of 599 foraging and feeding behaviors in both sexes. More positive scores of PC 2 describes increasing 600 aggression frequency and decreasing rates of sexual behaviors. Mean  $\pm$  1 SE depicted.

603

604	While we found non-trivial among-population variation and many influential factors, we found
605	no consistent effects of ALAN on observed adult body size or condition of G. holbrooki in the
606	field (Table 6; Fig. 5). Both estimates of body size yielded highly similar results, while patterns
607	of body condition were distinctly different from those for body size. One clear pattern was that
608	females were larger than males in all sites, as expected. For females, body size tended to be
609	smaller in ALAN within the Brier Creek drainage (and weakly so for Speight Branch drainage),
610	while it tended to be larger in ALAN in the Walnut Creek drainage. Female body condition
611	tended to be lower in ALAN within the Speight Branch drainage (and weakly so for Stirrup Iron
612	Creek drainage), but greater in ALAN within the Williams Creek drainage (and weakly so for
613	Brier Creek drainage). For males, body size was larger in ALAN within the Walnut drainage,
614	and trended toward that pattern within the Brier Creek and Williams Creek drainages, but the
615	opposite trend was observed in the Stirrup Iron Creek drainage. Male body condition was higher
616	Table 6

617 Results of general linear models examining variation in body size and condition of *G. holbrooki* 

618 attributable to effects of drainage, ALAN, sex, and their interactions

								Drainage ×	
				Drainage		Drainage ×	$ALAN \times$	$ALAN \times$	
Trait	Stat.	Drainage	ALAN	$\times$ ALAN	Sex	Sex	Sex	Sex	$\mathbb{R}^2$
	d.f.	4, 420	1,420	4,420	1,420	4,420	1,420	4, 420	
SL	F	8.79	1.01	13.01	1306.68	9.47	7.14	11.31	0.79
	Р	<0.0001	0.3164	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0078	<0.0001	
	$\eta^2$	1.81	0.05	2.68	67.40	1.95	0.37	2.33	
Mass	F	17.68	1.66	15.86	1311.58	9.20	6.15	11.91	0.78
	Р	<0.0001	0.1987	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0135	<0.0001	
	$\eta^2$	3.55	0.08	3.18	65.77	1.85	0.31	2.39	
Fulton's	F	27 72	1.90	10.29	1/ 13	1.08	0.03	0.71	0.29
V	r D	~0.0001	0.1694	-0.0001	0.0003	0.266	0.05	0.5972	0.29
Λ	г 2	<0.0001	0.1084	<0.0001	0.0002	0.300	0.0330	0.3873	
	$\eta^2$	18.64	0.32	6.92	2.37	0.73	0.01	0.48	

619 The *d.f.* were similar for all models. *P* values  $\leq 0.05$  in bold,  $\eta^2 \geq 5\%$  in bold.

620 in ALAN within the Williams Creek drainage (and weakly so for Brier Creek drainage), but 621 tended to be lower in ALAN within the Stirrup Iron Creek drainage. We found no associations 622 between size or condition of either sex with maximum nighttime lux (all P > 0.16). However, we 623 found evidence of a constraining effect of proximity to Dark sites on differentiation in body 624 condition (correlation between geographic distance and difference in average condition; females: 625 r = 0.82, P = 0.0924; males: r = 0.92, P = 0.0249). That is, G. holbrooki adults tended to have slightly lower condition in ALAN sites compared to Dark sites within drainages with short 626 627 geographic distances between the sites, but higher condition in ALAN sites within drainages 628 with longer distances between sites.







630 Speight Walnut Stirrup Iron Bner Williams
 631 Figure 5. Variation among populations in (a) body length, (b) mass, and (c) condition in adult female and
 632 male G. holbrooki. Mean ± 1 SE depicted.

633

#### 635 Discussion

636 Examining wild, urban populations of a freshwater fish, we uncovered evidence for a 637 range of effects of human-caused nighttime lighting, with behavioral impacts generally stronger 638 and more consistent than effects on population ecology, body size, or condition. Many effects of 639 ALAN varied across drainages, and some of this variation was apparently attributable to 640 variation in the magnitude of ALAN or the geographic proximity of populations within 641 drainages. Regardless, we observed multiple effects of ALAN within every drainage examined, 642 with many patterns matching our *a priori* predictions based on adaptive divergence. We suggest 643 that ALAN can rapidly lead to phenotypic shifts in resilient organisms that persist in these urban 644 environments, but that we still lack fundamental knowledge regarding the proximate and 645 ultimate mechanisms underlying most changes, and population mixing / gene flow from nearby, 646 unexposed localities can temper adaptive responses. These latter factors, and others (e.g. genetic 647 [co]variances of traits, potentially rugged fitness surfaces, genetic drift), make it difficult to 648 accurately predict the specific population-level outcomes of ALAN in all cases, even though the 649 magnitude of differences between ALAN-exposed and ALAN-naïve populations within 650 drainages were often similar to, or greater than, differences observed between drainages. Our 651 findings indicate that long-term exposure to ALAN can even have such dramatic consequences 652 that a "diurnal" organism may no longer exhibit diurnality.

653

### 654 Demographics and Habitat Use

655

Although effects of ALAN on population demography varied among drainages, we only
 observed patterns indicative of neutral to positive effects of ALAN on Eastern mosquitofish

658	populations. This suggests that if ALAN has negative impacts on the population ecology of
659	Eastern mosquitofish, it may be very short lived, and we did not observe it here. These patterns
660	are consistent with G. holbrooki possessing traits or exhibiting rapid trait shifts that either
661	adequately tolerated, or even benefited from environmental changes associated with ALAN.
662	Considering the known negative proximate effects of ALAN in many taxa (e.g. Gaston et al.,
663	2015; Gaston & Bennie, 2014; Horton et al., 2023; Latchem et al., 2021; Pulgar et al., 2019), a
664	recent laboratory study using a subset of the populations examined here (Jenkins, 2023), and the
665	behavioral differences observed in this study, it appears that G. holbrooki have partially
666	contended with ALAN using adaptive behavioral changes.
667	The elevated population densities and greater proportion of juveniles observed in the
668	presence of ALAN within some drainages may reflect greater population growth rates via
669	increased fecundity or adult/juvenile survivorship. A direct effect of ALAN on reproductive
670	output (e.g. testes size, brood size, reproductive timing) is possible (Baz et al., 2022; Dominoni
671	et al., 2018; Durrant et al., 2018; Thawley & Kolbe, 2020), but indirect effects on reproductive
672	output and survival may be more likely. This might occur for at least three reasons, as ALAN
673	might 1) allow for increased food intake by extending foraging periods into the night (Cohen et
674	al., 2011; Czarnecka et al., 2019; Dwyer et al., 2013; Fraser et al., 2004), 2) positively influence
675	invertebrate prey abundance by directly altering invertebrate ecologies or by reducing densities
676	of fish competitors (Bolton et al., 2017; Davies et al., 2012; Moore et al., 2006; Perkin et al.,
677	2011), and 3) permit foraging to occur during time periods with lower associated predation risk
678	(Cohen et al., 2011; Eckhartt and Ruxton, 2022; McNeil & Rodriguez, 1996; Nelson et al.,
679	2022). Prior work in poeciliid fishes has shown that these three changes could lead to the
680	demographic patterns observed here, as greater food availability / intake can increase fecundity

and testes size, and lead to higher population densities with a greater proportion of juveniles,
while lower mortality rates are also associated with higher densities and a higher proportion of
juveniles (Heinen et al., 2013; Reznick et al., 1996; Riesch et al., 2020). This study provides
evidence for elevated nighttime feeding in ALAN sites (see below), and we never observed
nighttime predatory behavior of piscivorous fish during this study; but future work is needed to
address mechanisms, as well as the reasons for among-drainage variation.

687 The more male-biased sex ratios observed during the day within several ALAN localities 688 appeared to reflect a spurious effect of population density. During the day, we observed a lower 689 proportion of females in cases with higher overall density-after statistically adjusting for this 690 correlation, we detected no differences in daytime sex ratios between ALAN and Dark 691 populations. While the cause of this density dependence is not known, some evidence for 692 reduced proportion of females under higher density exists in another poeciliid fish (Zúñiga-Vega 693 et al., 2012). Because prior work suggests that the female-biased sex ratios typical in poeciliid 694 fishes (and commonly observed here) result from male-biased mortality (Snelson & 695 Wetherington, 1980), patterns found in this study point toward increased survivorship of males 696 in sites with higher population densities. Future research could examine how and why sex-697 specific mortality rates might co-vary with population density.

Meanwhile, nighttime sex ratios in shallow, nearshore habitat clearly differed between ALAN and Dark sites, regardless of population density, revealing unambiguous evidence for a sex-specific, ALAN-induced diel habitat shift. This sex-specific utilization of habitat types at night under dim lighting mostly resulted from an apparent movement of females offshore during the night, and less from males increasing nearshore habitat use at night. Offshore environments are not heavily utilized by *G. holbrooki* during the day, but perhaps nighttime lighting provides

704 an opportunity for females to feed in areas that are typically dangerous during the day but 705 experience reduced predation risk at night (see below). The open-water offshore environment 706 also lacks complex plant structure which could provide shadows and refuge for prey, and this 707 region could have elevated prey availability in the form of zooplankton or insects at the water 708 surface. Moreover, light intensity might sometimes be slightly higher offshore where the sources 709 of ALAN are not obscured by nearshore vegetation, providing more light for visually guided 710 social and foraging behaviors, resulting in increased detection and consumption of prey. Likely 711 owing to their elevated energetic demands, female mosquitofishes focus much more on foraging 712 than males, which are more focused on mating (Heinen et al., 2013; Pärssinen et al., 2021). In 713 this study, female G. holbrooki exhibited foraging and feeding rates during the day more than  $3\times$ 714 that of males. This suggests, that diel habitat shifts of females toward offshore habitat at night 715 might certainly involve a feeding motivation. Yet, females might also experience lower 716 encounter rates with males offshore, reducing potential sexual harassment. While we did observe 717 activity of G. holbrooki offshore at night within several ALAN localities (pers. obs.), we did not 718 attempt to quantify offshore habitat use or identify the sex of fish swimming offshore.

719 To date, there is no prior work we are aware of that has examined the effects of ALAN 720 on sex-specific habitat use. Previous work in guppies found they increased their use of open 721 areas of tanks when exposed to ALAN in the laboratory (Kurvers et al., 2018); but that study did 722 not examine behaviors during the night. Interestingly, sex-specific spatial aggregation diel shifts 723 in fish have been noted in lemon sharks (Negaprion acutidens) where females shifted more 724 offshore at night during winter months (Pillans et al., 2021). In freshwater catfish (Tandanus 725 *tandanus*) females showed greater movement than males during the night (Koster et al., 2015). In 726 roach (Rutilus rutilus), larger bodied females used more pelagic open habitat than smaller males

727	(Zak et al., 2020)—a pattern similar to what was observed here during the night. Future work
728	should investigate the sex-specificity of ALAN-induced diel habitat shifts.

729

## 730 Diel Activity Incidence and Locomotor Activity

731

732 We initially hypothesized that ALAN would permit G. holbrooki to continue a range of 733 activities into the night, especially feeding, resulting in elevated nighttime behavioral activity. 734 Matching these a priori predictions, we found that populations with ALAN tended to show both 735 a greater incidence of nighttime activity and higher nighttime swimming speeds. Furthermore, 736 populations with a greater magnitude of nighttime lighting tended to show greater nighttime 737 activity, suggesting that lighting per se influenced the level of activity in these visually-oriented 738 fish. These differences were some of the strongest and most consistent effects of ALAN 739 observed in this study. Our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that G. holbrooki takes 740 advantage of nighttime lighting to extend feeding into the night because they can more 741 effectively detect and consume prey under these artificially elevated levels of light. If ALAN 742 was associated with increased prey abundance or quality, this could have reinforced this 743 observation. This is also consistent with our anecdotal observations that most behaviors 744 occurring during the night were foraging/feeding behaviors, as well as with the known increased 745 nighttime feeding rates on invertebrates found in Eurasian perch in response to ALAN exposure 746 (Czarnecka et al., 2019). Nighttime foraging as a result of ALAN has also been observed in other 747 diurnal taxa such as arthropods (McMunn et al., 2019) and birds (Leveau 2020; Silva et al., 748 2017). And Batty et al. (1990) found that swimming speed in herring (*Clupea harengus*) 749 increased with increasing light intensity, but only when they were feeding in manners similar to
750 those used by G. holbrooki (i.e. biting, not filter-feeding). Moreover, if elevated nighttime 751 activity largely reflected nighttime foraging activity, then we might expect to observe greater 752 nighttime activity under conditions of higher resource competition. In line with this expectation, 753 examining among-population associations with density in this study we found that both 754 incidence of nighttime activity and nighttime swimming speed showed evidence of a positive 755 association with nighttime density ( $\rho = 0.72$ , P = 0.0191; r = 0.57, P = 0.0835, respectively). 756 Thus, we suggest that increased nighttime activity in populations with ALAN partially reflected 757 an adaptive foraging shift in G. holbrooki.

758 Elevated nighttime activity may, however, come at an energetic cost—e.g. resulting in 759 altered daytime behaviors or reduced body condition (see below)—if it isn't compensated for by 760 increased energetic intake, such as profitable nighttime feeding (Fraser et al., 2004). For 761 instance, rockfish experimentally exposed to ALAN exhibited increased oxygen consumption 762 associated with their elevated activity levels (Pulgar et al., 2019). We observed fish consuming 763 prey during the night via direct visual observations and in nighttime video recordings, indicating 764 that G. holbrooki can successfully forage at night. Fraser et al. (2004) found that nighttime 765 feeding in Trinidadian guppies, another "diurnal" poeciliid fish, was as profitable as daytime 766 feeding even in the absence of ALAN. While G. holbrooki seem to seldom forage at night in 767 Dark sites examined in this study, when they do forage at night in ALAN sites, they could be 768 effective predators and compensate for their higher nighttime energetic expenditure. If so, fish in 769 ALAN localities could require less daytime feeding to acquire similar or greater overall energetic 770 inputs from food resources than fish in Dark sites which feed much less during the night (see 771 below). Indeed, recent work in a subset of the populations studied here found that G. holbrooki 772 from ALAN sites have even higher foraging performance during the night under ALAN than

they do during the day, and have much higher nighttime foraging performance than fish fromDark sites (Jenkins, 2023).

775 While nighttime activity in G. holbrooki could increase rates of food consumption, it 776 could also expose the fish to nighttime predation risk. We did not quantify activity of fish 777 predators or predation rates in this study, but our observations suggest greatly reduced predation 778 risk during the night owing to apparently strongly reduced activity of piscivorous fish and birds. 779 That said, future work should investigate predation risk because ALAN (especially high intensity 780 ALAN) can sometimes increase nighttime predation risk (Becker et al., 2013; Nelson et al., 781 2021; Sanders et al., 2021), perhaps centered along light-dark boundaries. Importantly, the 782 ALAN sites studied here had little-to-no dark regions during the night—the only exception being 783 the Speight Branch drainage, which also exhibited the lowest intensity of ALAN, lowest 784 incidence of nighttime activity, and lowest nighttime swimming speeds among ALAN sites. 785 In most populations, we observed a strong diel shift in swimming speed and style, with 786 slower average speeds and a more burst-and-coast style observed during the night than during the 787 day. This likely reflects the greater overall activity and more diverse behaviors performed during 788 the day than night in G. holbrooki. However, this diel shift was not observed in one population, 789 the ALAN locality in the Williams Creek drainage, which had the highest light intensity and 790 longest time of exposure to ALAN of any sites examined in this study. Fish in only this 791 population showed similar activity incidence, swimming speed, and swimming style during the 792 day and night. This population was also the only one that showed evidence in both sexes for 793 reduced daytime foraging/feeding rates, elevated aggression frequency, and reduced rates of 794 sexual behaviors compared to its paired Dark site within the drainage. These results suggest that 795 under long-term exposure to relatively bright ALAN, diurnality may be lost in some "diurnal"

organisms, even without a shift to nocturnality. While human disturbances are known to alter
diel activity patterns in many taxa (e.g. Bonnot et al., 2020; Gaynor et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2024;
Ordiz et al., 2014), this is the first case to our knowledge of a specific human-caused factor
(ALAN) leading to the apparent loss of diurnality in the wild. Such a dramatic change in diel
behavioral patterns could reflect a combination of phenotypic plasticity and evolutionary change,
and have important ecological and evolutionary consequences.

802 The fact that populations with ALAN often showed faster swimming speeds than Dark 803 sites during the day (within 3 drainages) could reflect altered circadian rhythms. Higher activity 804 during the day has also been observed in rockfish and smallmouth bass experimentally exposed 805 to ALAN (Foster et al., 2016; Pulgar et al., 2019). In contrast, experimental exposure to ALAN 806 appears to lower daytime locomotor activity in bluegill sunfish (Latchem et al., 2021), and even 807 in prior studies in mosquitofishes (Barzegar et al. 2022; Miner et al., 2021). However, the latter 808 studies examined a different variable, time spent resting, and Miner et al. (2021) found 809 conflicting results between an aquarium and mesocosm experiment in G. affinis. Contradictory 810 evidence regarding effects of ALAN on daytime activity also comes from diverse taxa, with 811 increased, decreased, and unaffected daytime activities documented (e.g. Baz et al., 2022; Duarte 812 et al., 2019; Dwyer et al., 2013; Finch et al., 2020; Lynn et al., 2021; Taylor et al., 2022; Touzot 813 et al., 2019; Ulgezen et al., 2019), but these studies have almost exclusively examined 814 experimental exposure to ALAN over short time periods, not wild populations exposed to ALAN 815 for generations. Based on our findings regarding feeding behaviors and body condition, elevated 816 daytime swimming speeds in ALAN sites were not related to increased feeding rates or altered 817 body condition. Moreover, daytime locomotor speed was not density-dependent, as we observed 818 no correlation between average daytime swimming speed and average daytime density (r = 0.27,

819 P = 0.46). The underlying proximate and ultimate causes of ALAN-associated changes in 820 daytime locomotor activity requires further investigation.

821

822 Daytime Behavioral Frequencies

823

824 ALAN was sometimes associated with decreased daytime foraging and feeding rates in 825 female G. holbrooki, while males only exhibited this pattern in one locality. Notably, foraging 826 and feeding rates were uniformly low for females in all ALAN populations. Perhaps elevated 827 nighttime feeding in these sites allowed these fish to maintain low foraging activities during the 828 day. Indeed, there was a suggestive trend for populations with greater average nighttime 829 swimming speed to exhibit lower average daytime foraging/feeding rates in females (r = -0.55, P 830 = 0.0982). As mentioned earlier, female mosquitofishes exhibit more resource-associated 831 behaviors than males, and this is consistent with the notion that females may respond more 832 strongly to ALAN in their feeding behaviors. In this study, we can rule out that reduced daytime 833 feeding rates simply resulted from altered population densities, as we did not find any evidence 834 of positive associations between average female or male PC1 scores and density (females: r = -835 0.46, P = 0.19; males: r = 0.14, P = 0.70). To date, we have little knowledge about the effect of 836 ALAN on daytime foraging and feeding behaviors. There is some evidence of reduced daytime 837 feeding in response to experimental exposure to ALAN in a marine mollusk (Manriquez et al., 838 2021). Meanwhile, Dwyer et al. (2013) found that daytime foraging was not associated with 839 ALAN in a migratory shorebird (*Tringa tetanus*). More research is needed on this topic. 840 Males in ALAN sites with greater magnitudes of nighttime lighting tended to show 841 higher rates of aggression and lower rates of sexual behaviors during the day, while females

842 showed heterogeneous patterns for these behaviors. This behavioral shift in males could reflect 843 daytime consequences of nighttime activity and altered circadian rhythms. In line with this hypothesis, populations with higher average PC 2 scores in males (higher aggression, lower 844 845 sexual behavior) had greater nighttime activity levels ( $\rho = 0.77, P = 0.0093$ ). Exposure to ALAN 846 can affect aggression in many taxa (e.g. Carvalho et al., 2013; Li et al., 2024; Valdimarsson & 847 Metcalfe, 2001; Van der Meer et al., 2004). ALAN exposure also alters sexual behaviors in 848 many taxa (e.g. Gutierrez-Perez et al., 2023; McLay et al., 2018; Simonneaux & Bahougne, 849 2015; Touzot et al., 2020; Van Geffen et al., 2015). Effects vary, but increased aggression and 850 decreased sexual motivation or reproductive success has been commonly reported, presumably 851 involving several possible proximate mechanisms associated with altered circadian rhythms and 852 sleep deprivation. ALAN can also disrupt organismal processing of photoperiod cues, leading to 853 altered season-dependent sexual behaviors (Davies et al., 2023; Russart & Nelson 2018). Lower 854 rates of sexual behaviors during the day under ALAN conditions could also reflect a plastic or 855 evolved shift in diel patterns of risky mating behaviors—e.g. under increased levels of nighttime 856 lighting, males could attempt more matings during the night instead of the day, as resistant 857 females may more easily be overcome by males under dim lighting and the behaviors may have 858 lower probability of attracting the attention of predators. We did observe mating behaviors 859 during the night in ALAN-exposed populations, but quantitative study of these rates and their 860 success requires future investigation. More research is needed to understand the mechanisms 861 underlying these sex-specific behavioral changes associated with prolonged exposure to ALAN. 862 The degree to which behavioral differences among populations reflect plasticity or 863 genetic differentiation is unknown, but both sources of variation are likely involved. If trait 864 changes mostly reflected evolutionary change, we might expect an association between

865 population trait values and time of exposure to ALAN. But evolutionary change need not show 866 such an incremental change over this time period, and in this study, sites with longer exposure to ALAN also tended to have higher intensity of ALAN, making it difficult to tease apart those 867 868 effects. Our findings for female foraging and feeding rates support a role for evolutionary change 869 in explaining some of these patterns, as the magnitude of behavioral differences between ALAN 870 and Dark sites within drainages increased with increasing geographic distance between sites. 871 This suggests that gene flow between populations might constrain adaptive divergence, or that 872 movement of individuals between locations might prevent adaptive plasticity (Garant et al., 873 2006; Langerhans et al., 2003). We find the likelihood of the former explanation to be greater 874 than the latter in this case, as regular movement of individuals between these locations seems 875 less likely than occasional movement that can influence gene flow. Additionally, a recent 876 common-garden experiment using a subset of the populations investigated here has demonstrated 877 evolutionary divergence between ALAN and Dark sites in multiple drainages for multiple traits 878 (Jenkins, 2023), and thus at least some of the behavioral differences observed in the wild here 879 may reflect evolution and not only plasticity. Future work is needed to directly address this topic. 880

881 Body Size and Condition

882

ALAN did not simply induce negative effects that resulted in lower body size or condition in *G. holbrooki*, as we observed inconsistent variation among ALAN and Dark sites. Differences in size and condition between paired sites were often observed for both sexes, but the directionality of differences went in both directions (e.g. sometimes smaller in ALAN, sometimes larger in ALAN). Effects of ALAN on body size may generally be species-specific,

888 population-specific, dose-dependent, or time-dependent, as so many factors can affect body size.

889 To date, experimental work has found that ALAN can sometimes lead to increased growth / body

size (e.g. Batra et al., 2019; Borniger et al., 2014; Durrant et al., 2018; Fonken et al., 2010;

891 Malek & Haim, 2019; Thawley & Kolbe, 2020) and sometimes lead to reduced growth / body

size (e.g. Arvedlund et al., 2000; Boldogh et al., 2007; Dananay & Benard, 2018; Raap et al.,

893 2016; Schligler et al., 2021; Villamizar et al., 2011).

894 The observed effect of geographic distance on the nature of body-condition 895 differentiation between paired ALAN and Dark sites suggests a constraining effect of gene flow 896 on adaptive divergence in ALAN populations. Gambusia holbrooki adults tended to have higher 897 condition in ALAN sites compared to Dark sites within drainages with a farther distance between 898 the sites, but lower condition in ALAN sites within drainages with a short geographic distance 899 between sites. Populations exposed to ALAN might experience strong selection for altered 900 phenotypes, but gene flow from nearby populations unexposed to ALAN can inhibit much 901 adaptive evolution. This scenario can result in reduced body condition within ALAN sites. 902 Meanwhile, ALAN populations with less gene flow from populations unexposed to ALAN can 903 more readily adapt to ALAN conditions, potentially leading to increased body condition as these 904 fish more effectively take advantage of the ability to feed and mate during the night. Future work 905 can address these hypotheses.

906

907 *Conclusions* 

908

909 Overall, we found that *G. holbrooki* regularly exposed to ALAN for years in the wild 910 exhibited multiple phenotypic shifts within each of multiple drainages. Rather than reflecting

911	negative consequences of human-altered conditions, most of these changes matched our a priori					
912	predictions of adaptive divergence, and some shifts must have occurred quite rapidly (all within					
913	3-25 years; ~6-75 generations). This adds to the growing evidence for the role of human					
914	activities in driving adaptive trait changes in resilient organisms persisting in the human-altered					
915	environments. The largest differences in behaviors between ALAN and Dark sites within					
916	drainages typically occurred in cases with brighter ALAN, suggesting stronger selection under					
917	higher magnitudes of ALAN. The only clear evidence for negative consequences of ALAN came					
918	from the lower body condition observed in two drainages, but these two ALAN sites had the					
919	closest proximity to Dark sites-gene flow with un-exposed populations may have constrained					
920	adaptive responses to ALAN. Our study was the first of its kind to document demographic and					
921	behavioral effects of ALAN on an aquatic organism, and highlights the importance of conductin					
922	field studies to better understand the natural consequences of this pervasive pollutant.					
923						
924	Author Contributions					
925	To be added after double-blind review.					
926						
927	Data Availability					
928	Data for this study are either provided in the text, supplementary material, or (will be) deposited					
929	in the Dryad Digital Repository.					
930						
931	Declaration of Interest					
932	We declare we have no competing interests.					

934	Acknowledgments				
935	To be added after double-blind review.				
936					
937	Supplementary Material				
938	Supplementary material associated with this article is available, in the online version, at XXX.				
939					
940	References				
941					
942	Alberti, M., Correa, C., Marzluff, J. M., Hendry, A. P., Palkovacs, E. P., Gotanda, K. M., Hunt,				
943	V. M., Apgar, T. M., & Zhou, Y. (2017). Global urban signatures of phenotypic change in				
944	animal and plant populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA,				
945	114(34), 8951-8956.				
946					
947	Alcaraz, C., & García-Berthou, E. (2007). Life history variation of invasive mosquitofish				
948	(Gambusia holbrooki) along a salinity gradient. Biological Conservation, 139(1), 83-92.				
949	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.06.006				
950					
951	Altermatt, F., & Ebert, D. (2016). Reduced flight-to-light behaviour of moth populations exposed				
952	to long-term urban light pollution. Biology Letters, 12, 20160111.				
953	http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0111				
954					
955	Aronson, M. F., La Sorte, F. A., Nilon, C. H., Katti, M., Goddard, M. A., Lepczyk, C. A.,				
956	Warren, P. S., Williams, N. S., Cilliers, S., & Clarkson, B. (2014). A global analysis of the				

- 957 impacts of urbanization on bird and plant diversity reveals key anthropogenic drivers.
- 958 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281(1780), 20133330.
- 959
- 960 Araújo, M. S., Langerhans, R. B., Giery, S. T., & Layman, C. A. (2014). Ecosystem
- 961 fragmentation drives increased diet variation in an endemic livebearing fish of the Bahamas.
- 962 *Ecology and Evolution*, *4*(16), 3298-3308.
- 963
- Arvedlund, M., McCormick, M., & Ainsworth, T. (2000). Effects of photoperiod on growth of
- 965 larvae and juveniles of the anemonefish *Amphiprion melanopus*.
- 966
- 967 Barzegar, H., Pourebrahim, S., Zahed, M. A., & Hadipour, M. (2022). The effects of artificial
- 968 light on the behavior of Eastern Mosquitofish (*Gambusia holbrooki*) in Iran. Journal of Wildlife
- 969 and Biodiversity, 8(1), 76–88. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7493138
- 970
- 971 Batra, T., Malik, I., & Kumar, V. (2019). Illuminated night alters behaviour and negatively
- 972 affects physiology and metabolism in diurnal zebra finches. *Environmental Pollution*, 254,
- 973 112916. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.07.084
- 974
- Batty, R., Blaxter, J., & Richard, J. (1990). Light intensity and the feeding behaviour of herring, *Clupea harengus. Marine Biology*, *107*(3), 383-388.
- 977
- 978 Baz, E.-S., Hussein, A. A. A., Vreeker, E. M. T., Soliman, M. F. M., Tadros, M. M., El-
- 979 Shenawy, N. S., & Koene, J. M. (2022). Consequences of artificial light at night on behavior,

- 980 reproduction, and development of *Lymnaea stagnalis*. *Environmental Pollution*, *307*, 119507.
  981 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119507
- 982
- 983 Becker, A., Whitfield, A. K., Cowley, P. D., Järnegren, J., & Næsje, T. F. (2013). Potential
- 984 effects of artificial light associated with anthropogenic infrastructure on the abundance and
- 985 foraging behaviour of estuary-associated fishes. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 50, 43–50.
- 986 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12024
- 987
- Bell, G. (2017). Evolutionary rescue. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*,
  48(1), 605-627.
- 990
- Blake, R. W. (2004). Fish functional design and swimming performance. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 65(5), 1193-1222. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-1112.2004.00568.x
- 993
- 994 Blanchard, R. C., DeWitt, T. J., Young, S., & Perkin, J. S. (2024). Predictability and conceptual
- 995 repeatability of the predator-associated burst speed ecophenotype in western mosquitofish
- 996 (*Gambusia affinis*). Journal of Fish Biology, 104(5), 1276-1289.
- 997
- Boldogh, S., Dobrosi, D., & Samu, P. (2007). The effects of the illumination of buildings on
- 999 house-dwelling bats and its conservation consequences. Acta Chiropterologica, 9(2), 527-534.
- 1000
- 1001 Bolton, D., Mayer-Pinto, M., Clark, G. F., Dafforn, K. A., Brassil, W. A., Becker, A., &
- 1002 Johnston, E. L. (2017). Coastal urban lighting has ecological consequences for multiple trophic

- 1003 levels under the sea. Science of The Total Environment, 576, 1-9.
- 1004 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.037
- 1005
- 1006 Bonnot, N. C., Couriot, O., Berger, A., Cagnacci, F., Ciuti, S., De Groeve, J. E., Gehr, B.,
- 1007 Heurich, M., Kjellander, P., & Kröschel, M. (2020). Fear of the dark? Contrasting impacts of
- 1008 humans versus lynx on diel activity of roe deer across Europe. Journal of Animal Ecology, 89(1),
- 1009 132-145.
- 1010
- 1011 Borniger, J. C., McHenry, Z. D., Abi Salloum, B. A., & Nelson, R. J. (2014). Exposure to dim
- 1012 light at night during early development increases adult anxiety-like responses. *Physiology &*

1013 Behavior, 133, 99-106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.05.012

- 1014
- 1015 Brans, K. I., & De Meester, L. (2018). City life on fast lanes: Urbanization induces an
- 1016 evolutionary shift towards a faster lifestyle in the water flea Daphnia. Functional Ecology, 32(9),
- 1017 2225-2240. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13184
- 1018
- 1019 Bureau of Street Lighting, Department of Public Works, City of Los Angeles (2007). Design
- 1020 Standards and Guidelines. https://ladot.lacity.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/bsl-street-lighting-
- 1021 design-standards-and-guidelines.pdf
- 1022
- 1023 Carlson, S. M., Cunningham, C. J., & Westley, P. A. (2014). Evolutionary rescue in a changing
- 1024 world. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 29(9), 521-530.
- 1025

- 1026 Carroll, S. P., Jørgensen, P. S., Kinnison, M. T., Bergstrom, C. T., Denison, R. F., Gluckman, P.,
- 1027 Smith, T. B., Strauss, S. Y., & Tabashnik, B. E. (2014). Applying evolutionary biology to
- address global challenges. *Science*, *346*(6207), 1245993.
- 1029
- 1030 Carvalho, T. B., Mendonça, F. Z., Costa-Ferreira, R. S., & Gonçalves-de-Freitas, E. (2013). The
- 1031 effect of increased light intensity on the aggressive behavior of the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis*
- 1032 *niloticus* (Teleostei: Cichlidae). *Zoologia* (*Curitiba*), *30*, 125-129.
- 1033
- 1034 Caspi, T., Johnson, J. R., Lambert, M. R., Schell, C. J., & Sih, A. (2022). Behavioral plasticity
- 1035 can facilitate evolution in urban environments. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 37(12), 1092-
- 1036 1103.
- 1037
- 1038 Cinzano, P., Falchi, F., & Elvidge, C. D. (2001). Naked-eye star visibility and limiting
- magnitude mapped from DMSP-OLS satellite data. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *323*(1), 34-46.
- 1041
- 1042 Cohen, J. B., Gerber, B. D., Karpanty, S. M., Fraser, J. D., & Truitt, B. R. (2011). Day and night
- 1043 foraging of red knots (*Calidris canutus*) during spring stopover in Virginia, USA. *Waterbirds*,
- 1044 34(3), 352-356, 355. https://doi.org/10.1675/063.034.0309
- 1045
- 1046 Czaczkes, T. J., Bastidas-Urrutia, A. M., Ghislandi, P., & Tuni, C. (2018). Reduced light
- 1047 avoidance in spiders from populations in light-polluted urban environments. The Science of
- 1048 Nature, 105, 64. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-018-1589-2

1050	Czarnecka, M., Kakareko, T., Jermacz, Ł., Pawlak, R., & Kobak, J. (2019). Combined effects of
1051	nocturnal exposure to artificial light and habitat complexity on fish foraging. Science of The
1052	Total Environment, 684, 14-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.280
1053	
1054	Dananay, K. L., & Benard, M. F. (2018). Artificial light at night decreases metamorphic duration
1055	and juvenile growth in a widespread amphibian. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
1056	Sciences, 285(1882), 20180367. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0367
1057	
1058	Davies, T. W., Bennie, J., & Gaston, K. J. (2012). Street lighting changes the composition of
1059	invertebrate communities. Biology Letters, 8(5), 764-767. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2012.0216
1060	
1061	Davies, T. W., Levy, O., Tidau, S., de Barros Marangoni, L. F., Wiedenmann, J., D'Angelo, C.,
1062	& Smyth, T. (2023). Global disruption of coral broadcast spawning associated with artificial
1063	light at night. Nature Communications, 14(1), 2511.
1064	
1065	Des Roches, S., Brans, K. I., Lambert, M. R., Rivkin, L. R., Savage, A. M., Schell, C. J., Correa,
1066	C., De Meester, L., Diamond, S. E., & Grimm, N. B. (2021). Socio-eco-evolutionary dynamics
1067	in cities. Evolutionary Applications, 14(1), 248-267.
1068	
1069	Diamond, S. E., & Martin, R. A. (2021). Physiological adaptation to cities as a proxy to forecast

- 1070 global-scale responses to climate change. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 224(Suppl\_1).
- 1071 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.229336

- 1073 Duarte, C., Quintanilla-Ahumada, D., Anguita, C., Manríquez, P. H., Widdicombe, S., Pulgar, J.,
- 1074 Silva-Rodríguez, E. A., Miranda, C., Manríquez, K., & Quijón, P. A. (2019). Artificial light
- 1075 pollution at night (ALAN) disrupts the distribution and circadian rhythm of a sandy beach
- 1076 isopod. Environmental Pollution, 248, 565-573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.02.037

1077

- 1078 Durrant, J., Botha, L. M., Green, M. P., & Jones, T. M. (2018). Artificial light at night prolongs
- 1079 juvenile development time in the black field cricket, *Teleogryllus commodus*. Journal of
- 1080 *Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution*, 330(4), 225-233.
- 1081 https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.b.22810
- 1082
- Dwyer, R. G., Bearhop, S., Campbell, H. A., & Bryant, D. M. (2013). Shedding light on light:
  benefits of anthropogenic illumination to a nocturnally foraging shorebird. *Journal of Animal*
- 1085 *Ecology*, 82(2), 478-485. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12012

1086

- Eckhartt, G. M., Ruxton, G. D. (2022). Artificial light at night may decrease predation risk for
  terrestrial insects. *Biology Letters*, *18*, 20220281. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2022.0281
- 1090 Elizabeth Alter, S., Tariq, L., Creed, J. K., & Megafu, E. (2021). Evolutionary responses of
- 1091 marine organisms to urbanized seascapes. *Evolutionary Applications*, 14(1), 210-232.

- 1093 Falchi, F., Cinzano, P., Duriscoe, D., Kyba, C. C., Elvidge, C. D., Baugh, K., Portnov, B. A.,
- 1094 Rybnikova, N. A., & Furgoni, R. (2016). The new world atlas of artificial night sky brightness.
- 1095 *Science Advances*, 2(6), e1600377.
- 1096
- Feiner, N., Brun-Usan, M., & Uller, T. (2021). Evolvability and evolutionary rescue. *Evolution*& *Development*, 23(4), 308-319.
- 1099
- 1100 Finch, D., Smith, B. R., Marshall, C., Coomber, F. G., Kubasiewicz, L. M., Anderson, M.,
- 1101 Wright, P. G. R., & Mathews, F. (2020). Effects of artificial light at night (ALAN) on European
- 1102 hedgehog activity at supplementary feeding stations. *Animals*, 10(5), 768.
- 1103
- 1104 Fitzpatrick, C., McLean, D., & Harvey, E. S. (2013). Using artificial illumination to survey
- 1105 nocturnal reef fish. Fisheries Research, 146, 41-50.
- 1106
- 1107 Fonken, L. K., Workman, J. L., Walton, J. C., Weil, Z. M., Morris, J. S., Haim, A., & Nelson, R.
- 1108 J. (2010). Light at night increases body mass by shifting the time of food intake. *Proceedings of*
- 1109 the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, 107(43), 18664-18669.
- 1110 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008734107
- 1111
- 1112 Foster, J. G., Algera, D. A., Brownscombe, J. W., Zolderdo, A. J., & Cooke, S. J. (2016).
- 1113 Consequences of different types of littoral zone light pollution on the parental care behaviour of
- 1114 a freshwater Teleost fish. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 227(11). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-
- 1115 016-3106-6

1117	Fraser, D. F., Gilliam, J. F., Akkara, J. T., Albanese, B. W., & Snider, S. B. (2004). Night
1118	feeding by guppies under predator release: effects on growth and daytime courtship. Ecology,
1119	85(2), 312-319. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-3023
1120	
1121	Gao, J., Santi, F., Zhou, L., Wang, X., Riesch, R., & Plath, M. (2019). Geographical and
1122	temporal variation of multiple paternity in invasive mosquitofish (Gambusia holbrooki,
1123	Gambusia affinis). Molecular Ecology, 28(24), 5315-5329. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15294
1124	
1125	Garant, D., Forde, S. E., & Hendry, A. P. (2007). The multifarious effects of dispersal and gene
1126	flow on contemporary adaptation. Functional Ecology, 21(3), 434-443.
1127	
1128	Gaston, K. J., & Bennie, J. (2014). Demographic effects of artificial nighttime lighting on animal
1129	populations. Environmental Reviews, 22(4), 323-330. https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2014-000
1130	
1131	Gaston, K., Visser, M., & Hölker, F. (2015). The biological impacts of artificial light at night:
1132	the research challenge. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences,
1133	370, 20140133. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0133
1134	
1135	Gaynor, K. M., Branco, P. S., Long, R. A., Gonçalves, D. D., Granli, P. K., & Poole, J. H.
1136	(2018). Effects of human settlement and roads on diel activity patterns of elephants (Loxodonta
1137	africana). African Journal of Ecology, 56(4), 872-881.
1138	

- 1139 Gonzalez, A., Ronce, O., Ferriere, R., & Hochberg, M. E. (2013). Evolutionary rescue: an
- 1140 emerging focus at the intersection between ecology and evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of*
- 1141 *the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, *368*(1610), 20120404.
- 1142 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0404
- 1143
- 1144 Grimm, N. B., Faeth, S. H., Golubiewski, N. E., Redman, C. L., Wu, J., Bai, X., & Briggs, J. M.
- 1145 (2008). Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science*, *319*(5864), 756-760.
- 1146
- 1147 Grose, M.J., & Jones, T.M. (2020). The impacts of artificial light at night on urban ecosystems.
- 1148 In I. Douglas, P. M. L. Anderson, D. Goode, M. C. Houck, D. Maddox, H. Nagendra, & T. P.
- 1149 Yok (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Urban Ecology* (pp.155-161). Routledge.
- 1150
- 1151 Gutiérrez-Pérez, M., González-González, S., Estrada-Rodriguez, K. P., Espítia-Bautista, E.,
- 1152 Guzmán-Ruiz, M. A., Escalona, R., Escobar, C., & Guerrero-Vargas, N. N. (2023). Dim light at
- 1153 night promotes circadian disruption in female rats, at the metabolic, reproductive, and behavioral
- 1154 level. *Advanced Biology*, 7(11), 2200289.
- 1155
- 1156 Harmon, E. A., & Pfennig, D. W. (2021). Evolutionary rescue via transgenerational plasticity:
- evidence and implications for conservation. *Evolution & Development*, 23(4), 292-307.
- 1158
- 1159 Harvey, E., Butler, J., McLean, D., & Shand, J. (2012). Contrasting habitat use of diurnal and
- 1160 nocturnal fish assemblages in temperate Western Australia. Journal of Experimental Marine
- 1161 *Biology and Ecology*, *426*, 78-86.

- 1163 Hedrick, T. L. (2008). Software techniques for two- and three-dimensional kinematic
- 1164 measurements of biological and biomimetic systems. *Bioinspiration & Biomimetics*, 3(3),
- 1165 034001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-3182/3/3/034001
- 1166
- 1167 Heinen, J. L., Coco, M. W., Marcuard, M. S., White, D. N., Peterson, M. N., Martin, R. A., &
- 1168 Langerhans, R. B. (2013). Environmental drivers of demographics, habitat use, and behavior
- 1169 during a post-Pleistocene radiation of Bahamas mosquitofish (Gambusia hubbsi). Evolutionary
- 1170 Ecology, 27(5), 971-991. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-012-9627-6
- 1171
- 1172 Heinen-Kay, J. L., Noel, H. G., Layman, C. A., & Langerhans, R. B. (2014). Human-caused
- habitat fragmentation can drive rapid divergence of male genitalia. *Evolutionary applications*,
  7(10), 1252-1267.
- 1175
- 1176 Hölker, F., Moss, T., Griefahn, B., Kloas, W., Voigt, C. C., Henckel, D., Hänel, A., Kappeler, P.
- 1177 M., Völker, S., Schwope, A., Franke, S., Uhrlandt, D., Fischer, J., Klenke, R., Wolter, C., &
- 1178 Tockner, K. (2010). The dark side of light: a transdisciplinary research agenda for light pollution
- 1179 policy. *Ecology and Society*, *15*(4), 13.
- 1180
- 1181 Hopkins, G. R., Gaston, K. J., Visser, M. E., Elgar, M. A., & Jones, T. M. (2018). Artificial light
- at night as a driver of evolution across urban–rural landscapes. Frontiers in Ecology and the
- 1183 Environment, 16(8), 472-479. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1828
- 1184

1185	Horton, K. G., Buler, J. J., Anderson, S. J., Burt, C. S., Collins, A. C., Dokter, A. M., Guo, F.,
1186	Sheldon, D., Tomaszewska, M. A., & Henebry, G. M. (2023). Artificial light at night is a top
1187	predictor of bird migration stopover density. Nature Communications, 14(1), 7446.
1188	
1189	Jenkins, M. R. (2023). Ecological, Phenotypic and Evolutionary Effects of Artificial Light at
1190	Night (ALAN) on Eastern mosquitofish (Gambusia holbrooki) (Masters thesis). Raleigh, NC:
1191	North Carolina State University. https://www.lib.ncsu.edu/resolver/1840.20/41467
1192	

- 1193 Jenkins, M. R., Cummings, J. M., Cabe, A. R., Hulthén, K., Peterson, M. N., & Langerhans, R.
- 1194 B. (2021). Natural and anthropogenic sources of habitat variation influence exploration
- behaviour, stress response, and brain morphology in a coastal fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology*,
  90(10), 2446-2461.
- 1197
- Johnson, M. T. J., & Munshi-South, J. (2017). Evolution of life in urban environments. *Science*, *358*(6363), eaam8327. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam8327
- 1200
- 1201 Köhler, A., Hildenbrand, P., Schleucher, E., Riesch, R., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Streit, B., & Plath,
- 1202 M. (2011). Effects of male sexual harassment on female time budgets, feeding behavior, and
- 1203 metabolic rates in a tropical livebearing fish (Poecilia mexicana). Behavioral Ecology and
- 1204 Sociobiology, 65(8), 1513-1523. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-011-1161-y
- 1205
- 1206 Koster, W. M., Dawson, D. R., Clunie, P., Hames, F., McKenzie, J., Moloney, P. D., & Crook,
- 1207 D. A. (2015). Movement and habitat use of the freshwater catfish (Tandanus tandanus) in a

- 1208 remnant floodplain wetland. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 24(3), 443-455.
- 1209 https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12159
- 1210
- 1211 Kraft, B., Williams, E., Lemakos, V. A., Travis, J., & Hughes, K. A. (2016). Genetic color
- 1212 morphs in the Eastern Mosquitofish experience different social environments in the wild and
- 1213 laboratory. *Ethology*, 122(11), 869-880. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12531
- 1214
- 1215 Kummu, M., De Moel, H., Ward, P. J., & Varis, O. (2011). How close do we live to water? A
- 1216 global analysis of population distance to freshwater bodies. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(6), e20578.
- 1217 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020578
- 1218
- 1219 Kurvers, R. H. J. M., Drägestein, J., Hölker, F., Jechow, A., Krause, J., & Bierbach, D. (2018).
- 1220 Artificial Light at Night Affects Emergence from a Refuge and Space Use in Guppies. *Scientific*
- 1221 Reports, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32466-3
- 1222
- 1223 Kyba, C. C. M., Kuester, T., Sánchez de Miguel, A., Baugh, K., Jechow, A., Hölker, F., Bennie,
- 1224 J., Elvidge, C. D., Gaston, K. J., & Guanter, L. (2017). Artificially lit surface of Earth at night
- increasing in radiance and extent. *Science Advances*, *3*(11), e1701528.
- 1226 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701528
- 1227
- 1228 Lambert, M. R., & Donihue, C. M. (2020). Urban biodiversity management using evolutionary
- 1229 tools. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 4(7), 903-910.
- 1230

- 1231 Lambert, M. R., Brans, K. I., Des Roches, S., Donihue, C. M., & Diamond, S. E. (2021).
- Adaptive evolution in cities: progress and misconceptions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,
  36(3), 239-257.
- 1234
- 1235 Langerhans, R.B. and Kern, E.M.A (2020). Urbanization and evolution in aquatic environments.
- In M. Szulkin, J. Munshi-South, & A. Charmantier (Eds.), *Urban Evolutionary Biology* (pp.1571237 174). Oxford University Press.
- 1238
- 1239 Langerhans, R. B., Layman, C. A., Langerhans, A. K., & Dewitt, T. J. (2003). Habitat-associated
- morphological divergence in two Neotropical fish species. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 80(4), 689-698.
- 1242
- 1243 Langerhans, R. B., & Rosa-Molinar, E. (2021). A novel body plan alters diversification of body
- shape and genitalia in live-bearing fish. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 619232.
- 1245 https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.619232
- 1246
- 1247 Latchem, E., Madliger, C. L., Abrams, A. E. I., & Cooke, S. J. (2021). Does artificial light at
- night alter the subsequent diurnal behavior of a Teleost fish? *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 232,*
- 1249 71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-021-05023-4
- 1250
- 1251 Lee, S. X. T., Amir, Z., Moore, J. H., Gaynor, K. M., & Luskin, M. S. (2024). Effects of human
- 1252 disturbances on wildlife behaviour and consequences for predator-prey overlap in Southeast
- 1253 Asia. Nature Communications, 15(1), 1521. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45905-9

- 1255 Leveau, L. M. (2020). Artificial light at night (ALAN) is the main driver of nocturnal feral
- 1256 pigeon (Columba livia f. domestica) foraging in urban areas. Animals, 10(4), 554.
- 1257 https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10040554
- 1258
- 1259 Li, G., Fang, C., Li, Y., Wang, Z., Sun, S., He, S., Qi, W., Bao, C., Ma, H., & Fan, Y. (2022).
- 1260 Global impacts of future urban expansion on terrestrial vertebrate diversity. *Nature*
- 1261 *Communications*, *13*(1), 1628.
- 1262
- 1263 Li, Z., Lee, C.-S., Chen, S., He, B., Chen, X., Peng, H.-Y., Lin, T.-B., Hsieh, M.-C., Lai, C.-Y.,
- 1264 & Chou, D. (2024). Blue light at night produces stress-evoked heightened aggression by
- 1265 enhancing brain-derived neurotrophic factor in the basolateral amygdala. *Neurobiology of Stress*,
  1266 28, 100600.
- 1267
- 1268 Longcore, T., & Rich, C. (2004). Ecological light pollution. Frontiers in Ecology and the
- 1269 Environment, 2(4), 191-198. https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2004)002[0191:ELP]2.0.CO;2
- 1270
- 1271 Lynn, K., Quintanilla-Ahumada, D., Anguita, C., Widdicombe, S., Pulgar, J., Manríquez, P.,
- 1272 Quijón, P., & Duarte, C. (2021). Disruption and recovery: artificial light at night (ALAN) alter
- 1273 the activity and feeding behavior of sandy beach amphipods from Atlantic Canada. Science of
- 1274 *The Total Environment*, 780, 146568.
- 1275

- 1276 Malek, I., & Haim, A. (2019). Bright artificial light at night is associated with increased body
- 1277 mass, poor reproductive success and compromised disease tolerance in Australian budgerigars
- 1278 (Melopsittacus undulatus). Integrative Zoology, 14(6), 589-603.
- 1279
- 1280 Manríquez, P. H., Jara, M. E., González, C. P., Seguel, M., Quijón, P. A., Widdicombe, S.,
- 1281 Pulgar, J. M., Quintanilla-Ahumada, D., Anguita, C., & Duarte, C. (2021). Effects of artificial
- 1282 light at night and predator cues on foraging and predator avoidance in the keystone inshore
- 1283 mollusc Concholepas concholepas. Environmental Pollution, 280, 116895.
- 1284
- 1285 Marangoni, L. F. B., Davies, T., Smyth, T., Rodríguez, A., Hamann, M., Duarte, C., Pendoley,
- 1286 K., Berge, J., Maggi, E., & Levy, O. (2022). Impacts of artificial light at night in marine
- 1287 ecosystems—a review. *Global Change Biology*, 28(18), 5346-5367.
- 1288 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16264
- 1289
- 1290 Martin, R. G. (1975). Sexual and aggressive behavior, density and social structure in a natural
- 1291 population of mosquitofish, *Gambusia affinis holbrooki*. Copeia, 445-454.
- 1292
- 1293 Bateson, M., & Martin, P. (2021). Measuring behaviour: an introductory guide. New York, NY,
- 1294 USA, Cambridge University Press.
- 1295
- 1296 McKinney, M. L. (2008). Effects of urbanization on species richness: a review of plants and
- 1297 animals. Urban Ecosystems, 11, 161-176.
- 1298

- 1299 McKinney, M. L., & Lockwood, J. L. (1999). Biotic homogenization: a few winners replacing
- many losers in the next mass extinction. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *14*(11), 450-453.
- 1302 McLaren, J. D., Buler, J. J., Schreckengost, T., Smolinsky, J. A., Boone, M., Emiel van Loon, E.,
- 1303 Dawson, D. K., & Walters, E. L. (2018). Artificial light at night confounds broad-scale habitat
- 1304 use by migrating birds. *Ecology letters*, 21(3), 356-364.
- 1305
- 1306 McLay, L. K., Nagarajan-Radha, V., Green, M. P., & Jones, T. M. (2018). Dim artificial light at
- 1307 night affects mating, reproductive output, and reactive oxygen species in Drosophila
- 1308 melanogaster. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology,
- 1309 *329*(8-9), 419-428.
- 1310
- 1311 McMunn, M. S., Yang, L. H., Ansalmo, A., Bucknam, K., Claret, M., Clay, C., Cox, K., Dungey,
- 1312 D. R., Jones, A., & Kim, A. Y. (2019). Artificial light increases local predator abundance,
- 1313 predation rates, and herbivory. *Environmental Entomology*, 48(6), 1331-1339.
- 1314
- 1315 McNeil, R., & Rodríguez, J. (1996). Nocturnal foraging in shorebirds. International Wader
- 1316 Studies, 8, 114-121.
- 1317
- 1318 Mehner, T. (2012). Diel vertical migration of freshwater fishes–proximate triggers, ultimate
- 1319 causes and research perspectives. *Freshwater Biology*, 57(7), 1342-1359.
- 1320

- 1321 Merckx, T., Souffreau, C., Kaiser, A., Baardsen, L. F., Backeljau, T., Bonte, D., Brans, K. I.,
- Cours, M., Dahirel, M., & Debortoli, N. (2018). Body-size shifts in aquatic and terrestrial urban
  communities. *Nature*, *558*(7708), 113-116.
- 1324
- 1325 Miner, K. A., Huertas, M., Aspbury, A. S., & Gabor, C. R. (2021). Artificial light at night alters
- the physiology and behavior of western mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*). *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 617063.
- 1328
- 1329 Moody, E., & Lozano-Vilano, M. (2018). Predation drives morphological convergence in the
- 1330 Gambusia panuco species group among lotic and lentic habitats. Journal of Evolutionary
- 1331 *Biology*, *31*(4), 491-501.
- 1332
- 1333 Moore, M. V., Kohler, S. J., & Cheers, M. S. (2006). Artificial light at night in freshwater
- habitats and its potential ecological effects. In C. Rich & T. Longcore (Eds.), *Ecological*
- 1335 Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting (pp. 365-384). Washington, D.C., USA, Island Press.
- 1336
- 1337 Nelson, T. R., Michel, C. J., Gary, M. P., Lehman, B. M., Demetras, N. J., Dudley, P. N.,
- 1338 Hammen, J. J., & Horn, M. J. (2022). Riverine fish density, predator-prey interactions, and their
- relationships with artificial light at night. *Ecosphere*, *13*, e4261.
- 1340 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4261
- 1341 Nelson, T. R., Michel, C. J., Gary, M. P., Lehman, B. M., Demetras, N. J., Hammen, J. J., &
- 1342 Horn, M. J. (2021). Effects of artificial lighting at night on predator density and salmonid

- 1343 predation. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 150, 147–159.
- 1344 https://doi.org/10.1002/tafs.10286
- 1345
- 1346 Nightingale, B., Longcore, T., & Simenstad, C. A. (2006). Artificial night lighting and fishes. In
- 1347 C. Rich & T. Longcore (Eds.), Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting (pp. 257-
- 1348 276). Washington, D.C., USA, Island Press.
- 1349
- 1350 Olden, J. D., Jackson, D. A., & Peres-Neto, P. R. (2001). Spatial isolation and fish communities
- 1351 in drainage lakes. Oecologia, 127(4), 572-585. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420000620
- 1352
- Ordiz, A., Kindberg, J., Sæbø, S., Swenson, J. E., & Støen, O.-G. (2014). Brown bear circadian
  behavior reveals human environmental encroachment. *Biological Conservation*, *173*, 1-9.
- 1355
- 1356 Pärssinen, V., Hulthén, K., Brönmark, C., Björnerås, C., Ekelund Ugge, G., Gollnisch, R.,
- 1357 Hansson, L. A., Herzog, S. D., Hu, N., & Johansson, E. (2021). Variation in predation regime
- drives sex-specific differences in mosquitofish foraging behaviour. *Oikos*, *130*(5), 790-797.
- 1359
- 1360 Paul, M. J., & Meyer, J. L. (2001). Streams in the urban landscape. Annual Review of Ecology
- 1361 *and Systematics*, *32*(1), 333-365.
- 1362
- 1363 Perkin, E., Hölker, F., Richardson, J., Sadler, J., Wolter, C., & Tockner, K. (2011). The influence
- 1364 of artificial light on stream and riparian ecosystems: questions, challenges, and perspectives.
- 1365 *Ecosphere*, 2, 122. https://doi.org/10.1890/es11-00241.1

1367	Pillans, R. D., Rochester,	W., Babcock, R. C.,	Thomson, D. P.	, Haywood, M. D., &	& Vanderklift,
			,	,,,,, .	

- 1368 M. A. (2021). Long-term acoustic monitoring reveals site fidelity, reproductive migrations, and
- 1369 sex specific differences in habitat use and migratory timing in a large coastal shark (Negaprion
- 1370 *acutidens*). *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *8*, 616633.
- 1371
- 1372 Pulgar, J., Zeballos, D., Vargas, J., Aldana, M., Manriquez, P. H., Manriquez, K., Quijón, P. A.,
- 1373 Widdicombe, S., Anguita, C., Quintanilla, D., & Duarte, C. (2019). Endogenous cycles, activity
- 1374 patterns and energy expenditure of an intertidal fish is modified by artificial light pollution at
- 1375 night (ALAN). Environmental Pollution, 244, 361-366.
- 1376 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.063
- 1377
- 1378 Raap, T., Casasole, G., Costantini, D., AbdElgawad, H., Asard, H., Pinxten, R., & Eens, M.
- 1379 (2016). Artificial light at night affects body mass but not oxidative status in free-living nestling
- 1380 songbirds: an experimental study. *Scientific Reports*, *6*(1), 35626.
- 1381
- 1382 Reznick, D. N., Rodd, F. H., & Cardenas, M. (1996). Life-history evolution in guppies (Poecilia
- 1383 reticulata: Poeciliidae). IV. Parallelism in life-history phenotypes. The American Naturalist,
- 1384 *147*(3), 319-338.
- 1385
- 1386 Rich, C., & Longcore, T. (2006). *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*.
- 1387 Washington, D.C., USA, Island Press.
- 1388

- 1389 Riesch, R., Easter, T., Layman, C. A., & Langerhans, R. B. (2015). Rapid human-induced
- 1390 divergence of life-history strategies in Bahamian livebearing fishes (Family Poeciliidae). Journal
- 1391 of Animal Ecology, 84(6), 1732-1743.
- 1392
- 1393 Riesch, R., Martin, R. A., & Langerhans, R. B. (2020). Multiple traits and multifarious
- environments: integrated divergence of morphology and life history. *Oikos*, *129*(4), 480-492.
- 1395
- 1396 Rohlf, F. J. (2017). TpsDig2 version 2.30. Stony Brook, NY: Department of Ecology and
- 1397 Evolution, State University of New York.
- 1398
- Rosso, J. J., Langerhans, R. B., & Avigliano, E. (2023). Fish as sentinels of urbanization impacts
  in aquatic ecosystems. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *11*, 1190398.
- 1401 https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1190398
- 1402
- 1403 Russart, K. L., & Nelson, R. J. (2018). Artificial light at night alters behavior in laboratory and
- 1404 wild animals. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology,
- 1405 *329*(8-9), 401-408.
- 1406
- 1407 Sanders, D., Frago, E., Kehoe, R., Patterson, C., & Gaston, K. J. (2020). A meta-analysis of
- 1408 biological impacts of artificial light at night. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 5(1), 74-81.
- 1409 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01322-x
- 1410

- 1411 Santi, F., Riesch, R., Baier, J., Grote, M., Hornung, S., Jüngling, H., Plath, M., & Jourdan, J.
- 1412 (2020). A century later: adaptive plasticity and rapid evolution contribute to geographic variation
- 1413 in invasive mosquitofish. *Science of The Total Environment*, 726, 137908.
- 1414
- 1415 Schell, C. J., Stanton, L. A., Young, J. K., Angeloni, L. M., Lambert, J. E., Breck, S. W., &
- 1416 Murray, M. H. (2021). The evolutionary consequences of human–wildlife conflict in cities.
- 1417 Evolutionary Applications, 14(1), 178-197. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13131
- 1418
- 1419 Schligler, J., Cortese, D., Beldade, R., Swearer, S. E., & Mills, S. C. (2021). Long-term exposure
- 1420 to artificial light at night in the wild decreases survival and growth of a coral reef fish.
- 1421 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 288(1952), 20210454.
- 1422 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0454
- 1423
- 1424 Seto, K. C., Güneralp, B., & Hutyra, L. R. (2012). Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030
- 1425 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 1426 Sciences of the USA, 109(40), 16083-16088.
- 1427
- 1428 Silva, A. D., Diez-Méndez, D., & Kempenaers, B. (2017). Effects of experimental night lighting
- 1429 on the daily timing of winter foraging in common European songbirds. Journal of Avian Biology,
- 1430 *48*(6), 862-871.
- 1431
- 1432 Simonneaux, V., & Bahougne, T. (2015). A multi-oscillatory circadian system times female
- 1433 reproduction. Frontiers in Endocrinology, 6, 157.

- Snell-Rood, E. C., Kobiela, M. E., Sikkink, K. L., & Shephard, A. M. (2018). Mechanisms of
  plastic rescue in novel environments. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*,
  49(1), 331-354.
- 1438
- Snelson Jr, F. F., & Wetherington, J. D. (1980). Sex ratio in the sailfin molly, *Poecilia latipinna*. *Evolution*, 308-319.

1441

- 1442 Stearns, S. C. (1983). A natural experiment in life-history evolution: field data on the
- 1443 introduction of mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis) to Hawaii. Evolution, 601-617.

1444

- 1445 Stockwell, C. A., & Weeks, S. C. (1999). Translocations and rapid evolutionary responses in
- 1446 recently established populations of western mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis). Animal
- 1447 *Conservation*, 2(2), 103-110. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1795.1999.tb00055.x.

1448

1449 Szulkin, M., Munshi-South, J., & Charmantier, A. (2020). Urban Evolutionary Biology. Oxford

1450 University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198836841.001.0001

- 1451
- 1452 Taylor, L. A., Thawley, C. J., Pertuit, O. R., Dennis, A. J., Carson, I. R., Tang, C., & Johnson,
- 1453 M. A. (2022). Artificial light at night alters diurnal and nocturnal behavior and physiology in
- 1454 green anole lizards. *Physiology & Behavior*, 257, 113992.
- 1455

- 1456 Thawley, C. J., & Kolbe, J. J. (2020). Artificial light at night increases growth and reproductive
- 1457 output in Anolis lizards. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 287(1919), 20191682.
- 1458
- 1459 Thompson, M., Capilla-Lasheras, P., Dominoni, D., Réale, D., & Charmantier, A. (2022).
- 1460 Phenotypic variation in urban environments: mechanisms and implications. Trends in Ecology &
- 1461 *Evolution*, *37*(2), 171-182.
- 1462
- 1463 Thums, M., Whiting, S. D., Reisser, J., Pendoley, K. L., Pattiaratchi, C. B., Proietti, M., Hetzel,
- 1464 Y., Fisher, R., & Meekan, M. G. (2016). Artificial light on water attracts turtle hatchlings during
- 1465 their near shore transit. *Royal Society open science*, *3*(5), 160142.
- 1466
- Tobler, M., Riesch, R. W., Tobler, C. M., & Plath, M. (2009). Compensatory behaviour in
  response to sulphide-induced hypoxia affects time budgets, feeding efficiency, and predation
  risk. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, *11*(6), 935-948.
- 1470
- 1471 Touzot, M., Teulier, L., Lengagne, T., Secondi, J., Théry, M., Libourel, P.-A., Guillard, L., &
- 1472 Mondy, N. (2019). Artificial light at night disturbs the activity and energy allocation of the
- 1473 common toad during the breeding period. *Conservation Physiology*, 7(1), coz002.
- 1474
- 1475 Touzot, M., Lengagne, T., Secondi, J., Desouhant, E., Théry, M., Dumet, A., Duchamp, C., &
- 1476 Mondy, N. (2020). Artificial light at night alters the sexual behaviour and fertilisation success of
- 1477 the common toad. *Environmental Pollution*, 259, 113883.
- 1478

- 1479 Ulgezen, Z. N., Käpylä, T., Meerlo, P., Spoelstra, K., Visser, M. E., & Dominoni, D. M. (2019).
- 1480 The preference and costs of sleeping under light at night in forest and urban great tits.

1481 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 286(1905), 20190872.

1482

1483 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2019).

1484 World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision (ST/ESA/SER.A/420). New York: United
1485 Nations.

1486

1487 Valdimarsson, S. K., & Metcalfe, N. B. (2001). Is the level of aggression and dispersion in

1488 territorial fish dependent on light intensity? *Animal Behaviour*, 61(6), 1143-1149.

1489

1490 Van der Meer, E., Van Loo, P., & Baumans, V. (2004). Short-term effects of a disturbed light-

1491 dark cycle and environmental enrichment on aggression and stress-related parameters in male

1493

1494 Van de Schoot, E., Merckx, T., Ebert, D., Wesselingh, R. A., Altermatt, F., & Van Dyck,

1495 H. 2024 Evolutionary change in flight-to-light response in urban moths comes with changes in

1496 wing morphology. *Biology Letters*, 20, 20230486. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2023.0486

- 1498 Van Doren, B. M., Horton, K. G., Dokter, A. M., Klinck, H., Elbin, S. B., & Farnsworth, A.
- 1499 (2017). High-intensity urban light installation dramatically alters nocturnal bird migration.
- 1500 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, 114(42), 11175-11180.
- 1501

<sup>1492</sup> mice. *Laboratory Animals*, *38*(4), 376-383.

- 1502 Van Geffen, K. G., van Eck, E., de Boer, R. A., van Grunsven, R. H., Salis, L., Berendse, F., &
- 1503 Veenendaal, E. M. (2015). Artificial light at night inhibits mating in a Geometrid moth. Insect
- 1504 *Conservation and Diversity*, 8(3), 282-287.
- 1505
- 1506 Villamizar, N., Blanco-Vives, B., Migaud, H., Davie, A., Carboni, S., & Sanchez-Vazquez, F. J.
- 1507 (2011). Effects of light during early larval development of some aquacultured teleosts: A review.
  1508 *Aquaculture*, *315*(1-2), 86-94.
- 1509
- 1510 Vörösmarty, C. J., McIntyre, P. B., Gessner, M. O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P.,
- 1511 Glidden, S., Bunn, S. E., Sullivan, C. A., & Liermann, C. R. (2010). Global threats to human

1512 water security and river biodiversity. *Nature*, 467(7315), 555-561.

- 1513
- 1514 Ward, A. J., & Mehner, T. (2010). Multimodal mixed messages: the use of multiple cues allows
- 1515 greater accuracy in social recognition and predator detection decisions in the mosquitofish,
- 1516 *Gambusia holbrooki. Behavioral Ecology*, 21(6), 1315-1320.
- 1517
- 1518 Wenger, S. J., Roy, A. H., Jackson, C. R., Bernhardt, E. S., Carter, T. L., Filoso, S., Gibson, C.
- 1519 A., Hession, W. C., Kaushal, S. S., & Martí, E. (2009). Twenty-six key research questions in
- 1520 urban stream ecology: an assessment of the state of the science. Journal of the North American
- 1521 Benthological Society, 28(4), 1080-1098.
- 1522

- Widder, E., Robison, B., Reisenbichler, K., & Haddock, S. (2005). Using red light for in situ
  observations of deep-sea fishes. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*,
  52(11), 2077-2085.
- 1526
- 1527 Witherington, B. E., & Martin, R. E. (2003). Understanding, assessing, and resolving light-
- pollution problems on sea turtle nesting beaches. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. rev. *Florida Marine Research Institute Technical Report TR-2*, 73 pp.
- 1530
- 1531 Wood, Z. T., Lopez, L. K., Symons, C. C., Robinson, R. R., Palkovacs, E. P., & Kinnison, M. T.
- 1532 (2022). Drivers and cascading ecological consequences of *Gambusia affinis* trait variation. *The* 1533 *American Naturalist*, *199*(3), E91-E110.
- 1534
- 1535 Zak, J., Prchalova, M., Smejkal, M., Blabolil, P., Vasek, M., Matena, J., Riha, M., Peterka, J.,
- 1536 Sed'a, J. & Kubecka, J. (2020). Sexual segregation in European cyprinids: consequence of
- 1537 response to predation risk influenced by sexual size dimorphism. *Hydrobiologia*, 847, 1439-
- 1538 1451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04198-x
- 1539
- 1540 Zbinden, Z. D., Geheber, A. D., Lehrter, R. J., & Matthews, W. J. (2022). Multifaceted
- assessment of stream fish alpha and beta diversity using spatial models. *Hydrobiologia*, 849(8),
- 1542 1795-1820. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-022-04824-w
- 1543
- 1544 Zúñiga-Vega, J. J., Hernández-Rosas, A. L., Molina-Moctezuma, A., Pérez-Mendoza, H. A.,
- 1545 Rodríguez-Reyes, F. R., Bravo-Espinosa, Y. M., & Espinosa-Pérez, H. (2012). Population

- abundance and sex ratio of the viviparous freshwater fish *Poeciliopsis baenschi* (Poeciliidae)
- 1547 throughout its range in western Mexico. Western North American Naturalist, 72(3), 357-368.
## Appendix

### Table A1

Average measurements of water-quality parameters taken from undisturbed water at ~20cm depth during the day and night at each study site

Drainage	ALAN Status	Date	Times	Water		Turbitiy	Salinity (ppt)	Conductivity $(uS)$
	Status			Temp (C)	pm	$(\mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{U})$	(ppt)	(us)
Speight Branch	ALAN	16-Jul-20	11:55, 22:45	27.6	8.24	14.11	0.1	130.4
	DARK	3-Jul-20	12:30, 22:45	30.9	9.76	18.99	0.0	70.1
Walnut Creek	ALAN	27-Jun-20	12:40, 23:10	27.8	8.62	3.10	0.1	127.4
	DARK	19-Jul-20	11:50, 22:50	26.5	7.76	4.41	0.1	156.3
Stirrup Iron Creek	ALAN	14-Jul-20	12:00, 0:20	32.2	9.11	2.12	0.1	246.8
	DARK	13-Jul-20	12:00, 23:00	27.6	8.63	5.91	0.1	128.6
Brier Creek	ALAN	2-Aug-20	12:00, 23:00	31.1	8.97	0.36	0.1	102.4
	DARK	6-Aug-20	12:05, 23:10	29.3	9.04	8.47	0.0	59.6
Williams Creek	ALAN	27-Jul-20	11:55, 22:55	32.6	9.13	15.05	0.0	59.2
	DARK	28-Jul-20	12:25, 23:00	31.7	8.49	3.92	0.0	93.9

Water temperature, salinity, and conductivity were measured using a YSI Pro2030. We measured pH using a Dr.meter PH100, and turbidity using a Sper Scientific 860040.

# Table A2

Sample size information for the various components of the study during the day (D) and night (N), when applicable, for each population of *G. holbrooki*.

	ALAN	Quadrat	Locomotor	Daytime Behaviors		Body Size/Condition	
Drainage	Status	Surveys	Activity	Females	Males	Females	Males
Speight Branch	ALAN	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	20	20	20	20
	DARK	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	25	25	21	21
Walnut Creek	ALAN	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	25	25	20	20
	DARK	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	20	20	20	20
Stirrup Iron Creek	ALAN	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	20	20	30	30
	DARK	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	20	20	30	31
Brier Creek	ALAN	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	20	20	20	20
	DARK	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	20	20	20	17
Williams Creek	ALAN	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	25	25	20	20
	DARK	20 D / 20 N	30 D / 30 N	20	20	20	20



**Figure A1.** Spectra of ALAN light sources as measured from near the shoreline at each of the five ALAN localities examined in the study. Multiple measurements of multiple light sources were taken in all cases (mean standardized spectra depicted), but spectra were very similar among lights at each site with the exception of the ALAN site within the Walnut Creek drainage. Thus, we only present multiple spectra for the latter drainage, where distinct types of light sources were present. In all cases, including Walnut Creek, LED lighting provided the dominant type of light source at night affecting the nighttime light conditions of these *G. holbrook*i populations.

### Highlights

- Artificial light at night (ALAN) has led to adaptive behavioural changes in a fish
- Fish are much more active at night under ALAN, and altered their daytime behaviour
- Females exhibited a habitat shift at night under ALAN
- Changes in condition and female daytime behaviour may be constrained by gene flow
- The population under the greatest intensity of ALAN displayed a loss of diurnality

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission for permission to conduct field collections, and Caren Cooper and Elsa Youngsteadt for comments on a previous version of the manuscript. The research was supported by North Carolina State University, Swedish Research Council (2016-05095), and American Society of Ichthyology and Herpetology Raney Fund Award.

Click here to access/download Supplementary Interactive Plot Data (CSV) demographics field data.csv

Click here to access/download Supplementary Interactive Plot Data (CSV) locomotor activity field data.csv

Click here to access/download Supplementary Interactive Plot Data (CSV) daytime behavior field data.csv

Click here to access/download Supplementary Interactive Plot Data (CSV) size and condition field data.csv

Click here to access/download Supplementary Interactive Plot Data (CSV) population means field data.csv